Page 1

Innu0083

COMMISSION ROYALE SUR LES PEUPLES AUTOCHTONES ROYAL COMMISSION ON ABORIGINAL PEOPLES

LOCATION/ENDROIT: Sheshatshiu,

Labrador

DATE: Wednesday, June 17, 1992

VOLUME: 1

"for the record..."

STENOTRAN

1376 Kilborn Ave.
Ottawa 521-0703

ICCI Exhibit P-009

Page 2 Innu0083_0002

INDEX

June 17, 1992

NAME			PAGE
Presentation	ру	Sylvester Andrew	14
Presentation	рà	Apenam Pone	18
Presentation	bу	Chief Katie Rich	24
Presentation	рÀ	George Rich	34
Presentation	рÀ	Simon Michel	44
Presentation	ру	Ben Michel	46
Presentation	by	Kirk Lethbridge	62
Presentation	bу	Elizabeth Penashue	67
Presentation	bу	Jack Penashue	75
Presentation	ру	David Nuke	82
Presentation	bу	Jean-Pierre Ashini	89
Presentation	bу	Mary Michel	93
Presentation	bу	Peter Penashue	97
Presentation	рà	Simeo Rich	115
Presentation	by	Patrick Rich	124

June 17, 1992

Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples

1	Sheshatshiu, Labrador
2	Upon commencing on June 17, 1992 at 9:40 a.m.
3	MR. BEN MICHEL,
4	FACILITATOR: Excuse me, I think we're going to start now,
5	and we're going to start with the opening prayer by Mary
6	Ann Michel.
7	(Opening Prayer)
8	MR. BEN MICHEL: I guess
9	the next thing on the agenda is the opening remarks by
10	the Co-Chairman, René Dussault.
11	CO-CHAIR RENE DUSSAULT:
12	Good morning. First of all, I would like to welcome
13	everybody to this first day of hearings by the Royal
14	Commission on Aboriginal Peoples in Sheshatshiu.
15	We have been in Labrador
16	for the last three days. We visited Makkovik on Monday.
17	Yesterday, we were in Happy Valley. We hope that this
18	opportunity that is given to us to hear your concern, what
19	you feel should happen to your community, to your children,
20	in the coming future.
21	We have been appointed to
22	try to see how to build a new relationship between
23	aboriginal and non-aboriginal peoples in this country.

June 17, 1992

Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples

1	We are concerned with the
2	situation of status Indians, Indians living in the cities.
3	We are concerned with the situation of the Inuit people,
4	the situation of the Metis. We know that the situation
5	varies from one province to another, from one territory
6	to another. We know that Labrador has its own specific
7	pluses and also difficulties. We hope that we will be
8	able to come with solutions that will give a better future
9	for everybody in this community, in particular for the
10	young generation, for the youth.
11	We want to hear concerns
12	expressed, not only by the political organizations, but
13	also by people like you. We want to hear about the life
14	conditions, what happens on a day-to-day basis, and how
15	things could be made better.
16	There have been many
17	studies done on the situation of aboriginal peoples in
18	this country, but most of them have dealt with specific
1.9	subjects, whether justice or education or the health
20	services or the economy.
21	This Commission is
22	different than the others because we have to look at all
2.3	those issues and see how they interrelate to each other.

June 17, 1992

Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples

1	
2	So we have to see the big
3	picture, the relationship that there is with something
4	like the idea of self-government and the delivery of social
5	services, the education system, the justice system, the
6	links that should exist between the possibility of getting
7	an education and having jobs afterward, because it goes
8	together.
9	We want to hear from people
10	again who have to wrestle with the life conditions on a
11	day-to-day basis. It is important to us, and we hope that
12	at the end of the day, you will be able to recognize your
13	concerns and some of the ideas that you might have put
14	forward for solutions, to recognize that into the final
15	report of the Commission.
16	We do not want to come and
17	go just one time. We want to establish a dialogue, so
18	that means we will come back to Labrador, not necessarily
19	in this community, but in other communities.
20	We will publish a document
21	this summer on what we've heard and what we think were
22	the main concerns that were put to us during this first
23	round of hearings. We started these hearings in Winnipeg

June 17, 1992

Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples

1	two months ago, and we will complete this round next week
2	in Toronto and Edmonton in Alberta. So we will then
3	publish a document that will make a synthesis of what we've
4	heard, and put some of the major questions in order that
5	when we come back in the fall in other communities, that
6	we could focus more on what appears to be the priorities
7	and what the solutions should be, to move from where we
8	are now to where we want to be in five years from now,
9	in ten years from now.
10	I hope that this
11	opportunity will enable both aboriginal peoples and
12	non-aboriginal peoples to voice their concerns. It is
13	important that there has to be something happening and
14	being done out of the report of the Commission, that the
15	larger public, all Canadians, understand the problems,
16	the difficulties and the hope of aboriginal peoples, and
17	see that it would be for the betterment of the whole of
18	Canada to do things differently.
19	So this public education
20	process is very important, and that's why we're always
21	very happy to have the media with us, to tell the public
22	outside a room like this one what is happening, what are
23	the thoughts and the ideas that are put forward in a hearing

June 17, 1992

23

Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples

1	like this one, because the whole of Canada is to see that
2	the future will be better and brighter if it is built
3	together, aboriginal and non-aboriginal people, and
4	everybody will be richer and prouder of being part of this
5	country.
6	So that's the reason why
7	we're going to have hearings also in the larger cities
8	in Canada, to hear the concerns of aboriginal and
9	non-aboriginal peoples, aboriginal people living in the
10	cities also, but the northern communities, the north, as
11	such, is a very important component of our mandate.
12	Again, I would like to say
13	that a Commission like ours can give only what you will
14	put into it, so it is very important that you participate
15	in it, and you tell us what you have on your mind, in order
16	to enable us to design the solutions with you, solutions
17	that would work. You know what could work and you know
18	what could fail or would necessarily fail in communities
19	like yours, so we need your participation.
20	We try to have the hearings
21	in a format that is as informal as possible. You should
22	feel very at ease coming and joining us at the table to

StenoTran

discuss with us. We will be available also to meet with

June 17, 1992

Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples

1	you outside this room, if it is necessary. You can ask
2	our staff if there are some private meetings to be
3	organized. We will try to put it into our schedule.
4	Again, thank you very much
5	for being with us. We hope that we will have a fruitful
6	discussion, and again, that this time, action will follow
7	the words. We hope to be able to report in the first part
8	of 1994, because we know that there is urgency for action.
9	The young generation can't wait. The elders and the women
LO	also can't wait to have a betterment of their situation.
L1	So we need your help, and it has to be done in a
L2	partnership, in order to involve all Canadians.
L3	Thank you very much, and
L 4	I would like now to complete the presentation of the
L 5	Commission in saying what I should have said at the
L 6	beginning. I am one of the two co-chairs of the
L7	Commission. The Commission has seven members. I am a
L8	judge with the Court of Appeal for the Province of Quebec.
L 9	The other co-chair is Georges Erasmus, the former chief
20	of the Assembly of the First Nation, well known across
21	Canada. There are four aboriginal persons on the
22	Commission, and three non-aboriginal, so that means that
2.3	there is a majority of aboriginal people sitting on the

June 17, 1992

23

Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples

1	Commission, four out of seven.
2	With me this morning are
3	Viola Robinson from Nova Scotia. She is a Micmac from
4	Nova Scotia. Also, Mary Sillett, who is at home in
5	Labrador, much more than, of course, we are, because she
6	has lived here for many years. We have the Commissioner
7	of the Day, Francis Penashue. His role is exactly the
8	same as ours, to ask clarifications from the presenters,
9	to make sure that we understand properly what is said.
L 0	We're going to discuss with him on what we've heard, and
L1	this is in order to make sure that we have a good
L2	understanding and grasp of the community and of what has
L3	been told to us. The other Commissioners are Paul
L 4	Chartrand, a Metis from Manitoba, and the two
L5	non-aboriginal Commissioners, apart from me, are Bertha
L 6	Wilson, Madame Justice Bertha Wilson, who just retired
L7	from the Supreme Court of Canada. She is a well-known
L 8	judge from the Supreme Court of Canada who retired and
L 9	is sitting as a Commissioner with the Commission. Also,
20	Allan Blakeney, former Premier of the Province of
21	Saskatchewan for over a decade.
22	As we want to visit as many

StenoTran

communities as possible, we are very often splitting into

June 17, 1992

Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples

	Aboriginal Peoples
1	two or three groups. This morning, there are two other
2	panels of Commissioners doing exactly what we will do here.
3	That means holding hearings in other parts of the
4	country. No Royal Commission has visited communities as
5	we intend to do. We hope to be able to visit over 100
6	communities in all the ten provinces and territories during
7	the coming year, plus the major cities in the south.
8	We hope again that at the
9	end of the day, you would recognize yourself in the writing
10	of the Commission, and we thank you very much for your
11	contribution. I would like now to ask my co-commissioners
12	to say a few words. I will start with Viola Robinson.
13	Thank you.
14	COMMISSIONER VIOLA
15	ROBINSON: Good morning. I'm not going to say very much.
16	I think our co-chair has given you a good overview of
17	why we're here and what we're all about, but I do welcome
18	the opportunity to be here and to be able to share some
19	of your views and concerns.
20	We're a listening
21	commission. We're here to listen to you and to hear what
22	you have to say and how you view your future in Canada.
23	So I'm not going to say any more. I look forward to

June 17, 1992

Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples

1	hearing from you, so thank you.
2	COMMISSIONER MARY SILLETT:
3	[Few words native language, not translated]. I said
4	"thank you" [Innuamon?] is Inuktitut for "Chairperson."
5	Before I begin my comments,
6	I would like to introduce the staff of the Royal Commission
7	on Aboriginal Peoples. We have a lot of staff on the Royal
8	Commission, perhaps 70 or more, but the majority are
9	aboriginal people, and that means status Indian,
10	non-status Indian, Metis and Inuit from all across Canada,
11	and we are very proud of that.
12	Roger Farley is from
13	Quebec. He works with the Secretariat. He is part of
14	our staff, he's over there. Francis Abele, she also works
15	with the Royal Commission in the research section. Nora
16	Jarrett, she's a team leader for public participation.
17	She's an Inuk from Hopedale, Labrador, the same as I am.
18	There is Violet Ford. She's from Makkovik, Labrador.
19	She works in the research section. Rhoda Kayakjuak, she's
20	from Hull Beach in the Northwest Territories, and she's
21	an Inuk, and we have Michael Lazore, who is a Mohawk from
22	Akwesasne.
23	Before we had our hearings

June 17, 1992

23

Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples

1	in Labrador, we met with the provincial native groups in
2	St. John's. At that time, we asked them, if we go to
3	Labrador to have our hearings, what should we do to make
4	sure they're the best. They said you have to have local
5	community co-ordinators hired three to four weeks before
6	you begin, because they know the community, and they can
7	make sure that their community participates in these very
8	important discussions.
9	I think we should thank
10	Etienne Andrew of Sheshatshiu very, very much for the
11	excellent work that he has done, and as well, we were told
12	when you come into our communities, do whatever is
13	necessary to make people understand. Respect the
14	aboriginal language of that community, find the best
15	interpreters, and get them to interpret for you, so that
16	we and you can understand, so we've tried to do that as
17	much as we can.
18	This is not the only time
19	that we'll be in Labrador. We'll be back sometime, maybe
20	not Mr. Dussault, maybe not Viola or myself. There are
21	seven Commissioners, and they want to come to Labrador,
22	too.

StenoTran

The Commission has

June 17, 1992

Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples

1	discussed the possibility of holding hearings in Davis
2	Inlet because of the many social problems facing the Innu
3	there.
4	We're a commission that has
5	said over and over again that we will go to those
6	communities that have hardly ever had a say, that are hardly
7	ever heard, and we've done this to date, and throughout
8	the next year, we plan to go to the communities that are
9	remote, that are isolated, that are hardly ever heard from.
10	Whenever I say that I'm an
11	Inuk from Labrador, on the mainland, outsiders often think
12	I'm an Innu, I'm saying "Innu." As you and I know, there's
13	a culture of difference between the Innu and the Innuit,
14	and I hope that today that message will go out to a lot
15	of people, through the media.
16	Finally, I would like to
17	say that I used to stay in a dorm across the river to go
18	to school. In those days, there was only a cable car,
19	and the communities of Northwest River and Sheshatshiu
20	were very separate. Maybe the bridge has changed some
21	of that, I don't know. But I can tell you one thing, there
22	has been change, there has been a lot of change, because
23	I think earlier on in those days probably this Commission

June 17, 1992

Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples

1	would have been meeting on the other side of the river
2	instead of this side of the river, and I think that's
3	something that we have to be proud of.
4	I think, too, that Labrador
5	is hardly known outside of Labrador, but the Innu have
6	changed that. Because of the publicity, because of the
7	efforts of the Labrador Innu and their protests against
8	the low -level flying, Labrador is now known nationally
9	and a little bit internationally, and I think that the
10	Innu of Labrador deserve credit for that.
11	[Few words native
12	language, not translated]. Thank you.
13	CO-CHAIR RENE DUSSAULT:
14	I would like now to ask our Commissioner of the Day, Francis
15	PenashuE, to say a few words.
16	FRANCIS PENASHUE,
17	COMMISSIONER OF THE DAY, [INTERPRETER]: I'm not going
18	to say very much. The only reason that I am here is to
19	help to give us a better understanding in the struggle
20	of how we go through in our lives. We cannot live in a
21	non-native people way, and now it is up to us to understand
22	how we live, to raise our concerns and what is the cause
23	for the future and how we cannot live like non-native

June 17, 1992

Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples

1	people.
2	One of the purposes that
3	I've been asked to participate is to raise some concerns,
4	such as an Innu fromand another is another person, and
5	another is an Inuit, and we are the Innu from Sheshatshiu.
6	One of the reasons that I'm
7	asked to sit in this table is because we have different
8	cultures, we have different lives. To be able to come
9	together to voice our concerns is one of the reasons why
LO	I'm sitting at the table.
L1	I guess some of you have
L2	some concerns, and there are things that you do not agree
L3	to be able to say to this table, to give your concerns,
L 4	and how we cannot be able to live like non-native people.
L 5	
L 6	That's it for now. I will
L 7	talk later on. Thanks.
L 8	MR. BEN MICHEL: The next
L 9	speaker is Sylvester Andrew.
20	MR. SYLVESTER ANDREW,
21	[INTERPRETER]: Good morning. My name is Sylvester Andrew.
22	I work here in school. What I have to say is what I have
23	prepared from my forefathers. Our forefathers learned

June 17, 1992

Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples

1	from their fathers. This is how we learn of our history.
2	
3	We know that the system of
4	government did not arrive with the arrival of Europeans.
5	We know wherever there were aboriginal people that they
6	were governing themselves, so we have been governing
7	ourselves before the Europeans arrived. We ruled our
8	lands in the way that pleased us and worked for us. We
9	took care of our animals, because we knew that they would
10	always sustain us. We treated the animals and things
11	around us with respect because they are a part of our lives.
12	
13	With the arrival of the
14	Europeans, our forefathers tell of many changes that took
15	place. Our forest were cut down, our rivers were dammed.
16	We did not know why these things were happening until
17	it was too late.
18	This point of my
19	presentation, I know that there are aboriginal people
20	across Canada, and that the Europeans have named Canada.
21	I know the word "Canada" itself is an aboriginal term.
22	I also know that there are other aboriginal people to
23	the south of Canada in the country known as the United

June 17, 1992

Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples

1	States.
2	I am part of that country
3	which is known as Labrador. In this part of the country,
4	which was once a thriving hunting country. Many people
5	from many parts of the country went to hunt. Many are
6	buried there in that area. Many grave sites are now
7	covered by man. Made lakes were created by damming. The
8	destruction of our land in this manner has disrupted the
9	lives of our people. In a similar manner, the government
10	of Canada and Newfoundland are still seeking to disrupt
11	our lives, and by introducing low-level flying jets. But
12	this does not only disrupt our lives, it also disrupts
13	wildlife, which Innu depend on.
14	The Innu are, however,
15	starting to wake up and take notice of this destruction
16	that has taken place, which will take place and is now
17	taking place. Because the Innu are more aware of what
18	is happening, there has been more effort on the part of
19	Innu to stop the destructive activities from taking place
20	or sitting in silence. There was, in many recent years,
21	when Innu put blockades on the runways to stop military
22	jets from taking off at the airports, or occupied by bombing
23	ranges so they could not be used for destructive target

June 17, 1992

Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples

1	practices.
2	We must do these things to
3	reassert our authority on our lands. We have not given
4	permission for our land to be used in this manner. We
5	have not sold our rights to our lands, so they still belong
6	to us, and as we struggle to save our lands, we are faced
7	with other problems in our community. The school that
8	our children go to has been the biggest factor in many
9	of our people, abandoning our culture. Missionaries
10	forced our children to go to schools where they were made
11	to feel ashamed of who they were. The learned somebody
12	else's language, and were slowly losing their own language.
13	I predict
14	great-grandchildren will be speaking English only. Many
15	things will be lost to us if we do not hold on to what
16	we have and try to regain what we have lost already. We
17	will becomeif we lose our land. There will be no other
18	lands that can take the place of our land, which is known
19	as Labrador.
20	We have seen changes that
21	have taken place over the years things have been happening
22	to the Innu. Let us look at Churchill Falls, for one
23	example. I know that many millions of dollars were spent

June 17, 1992

Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples

1	because of Churchill Falls to provide electrical power.
2	Innu are not benefiting. In fact, they are being
3	double-dealed. Development in Newfoundland flooded the
4	thriving hunting areas that have been used extensively
5	by the Innu, and now we pay for our electrical bills.
6	What I have to say now is
7	getting short, and other people will be coming up to speak.
8	It has been two years. People are not allowed to go to
9	Aurora Hotel because of the racist attitudes. A lot of
L 0	people suffer because of one or two individuals. There
L1	are funds, Innu funds and other funds. Why canthe Innu
L2	are allowed to go to Aurora Hotel as ordinary citizens.
L3	The government should not
L 4	act as if they own us, and we should be able to have
L5	self-government, and that not for other culture, but the
L 6	provincial and federal government governing us.
L7	I thank you very much.
L 8	CO-CHAIR RENE DUSSAULT:
L 9	Thank you very much for your presentation.
20	MR. BEN MICHEL: The next
21	speaker is Apenam Pone [few words native language, not
22	translated], and his topic, I guess, is going to be alcohol
23	related, and that's the next speaker that's going to be

June 17, 1992

23

Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples

1 speaking, Apenam Pone. 2 CO-CHAIR RENE DUSSAULT: 3 Good morning. 4 MR. APENAM PONE, INNU 5 ALCOHOL PROGRAM DIRECTOR: Good morning, Mr. Chairman, Mary Sillett and Chief Francis. I'm the Innu Alcohol 6 7 Program Director, and I work at the Innu Alcohol Program here at Sheshatshiu, and I've been here for four years, 9 and working as a counsellor for two years and two years as director of the alcohol program. 10 11 I'm also a concerned citizen of Sheshatshiu, and I would like to read what I 12 13 have written in my speech about the problem of alcohol, 14 the very extensive problem of the community. Two-thirds 15 of the adult population in the community have difficulty with alcohol. This is caused by the lack of power and 16 17 control the Innu have over their lives, the domination 18 of culture, control of education and social services and 19 religion and justice system. This lack of power and 20 control leadership make social problems such as family 21 violence, child neglect, sexual abuse and teenagers 22 experiencing problems. The alcohol program is just an

organization attempting to address those problems. The

June 17, 1992

Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples

Д.	problems innu experience will always exist until the innu
2	regain control and responsibility for their lives.
3	The culture of the Innu has
4	been weakened. Due to the lack of control, people have
5	begun to feel that they lack power to direct their lives
6	and the lives of their children. The alcohol program has
7	some suggestions to make about our program delivery of
8	services. Others will speak on the global issues of total
9	control and independence. The alcohol program addresses
10	the individual needs and personal ones. We feel that there
11	has to be Innu going to the country and experience our
12	traditional lifestyle. Our children must learn and
13	experience the country. This will strengthen our culture.
14	We must know where we are coming from and know where we're
15	going.
16	There seems to be a
17	different financial scale for the Innu and non-Innu alcohol
18	program. The funding that the government provides for
19	our program is far less in terms of wages scale and the
20	program budget that of the other treatment centre programs
21	in the area. We cannot accept this double standard. We
22	need more staff. Our program does not have funding even
23	for the secretary.

June 17, 1992

Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples

1	We would like to provide
2	mobile treatment in the country. We feel this would
3	strengthen our culture.
4	The justice system needs
5	to be renovated and changed to address the needs for the
6	Innu. It is and does not work. Rehabilitation will
7	happen when the Innu are involved and are responsible.
8	We need to rely on the teaching and experience of our
9	elders. Since the 1900s, outside people have taught us
L 0	about their religion. It has replaced our spiritual
L1	beliefs, which means something again has been taken away
L2	from our culture.
L3	That's what I have written,
L 4	and I also have a concern about education in the schools,
L 5	and I think that when the Innu have control of their
L 6	schools, I think that the problems of alcohol will be
L7	reduced, because we feel that we need to talk about
L 8	alcoholism in the school system.
L 9	CO-CHAIR RENE DUSSAULT:
20	Thank you very much for your presentation.
21	I would like it if you could
22	tell me what is the budget of the alcohol program.
23	MR. APENAM PONE: It's

June 17, 1992

Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples

1	around 83,000 for three people, and sometimes we have to
2	move it around because some of the places are not funded
3	enough and there are needs in the community.
4	CO-CHAIR RENE DUSSAULT:
5	What is the program? What do people do when they
6	MR. APENAM PONE: We
7	extensively have counselling and AA meetings, and we do
8	community things sometimes, like festivals and stuff like
9	that. Right now, this fall, we will be having a sexual
10	abuse counsellor coming in to work for half a day without
11	us providing funding. This is just a volunteer person.
12	CO-CHAIR RENE DUSSAULT:
12 13	CO-CHAIR RENE DUSSAULT: So you don't have beds or
13	So you don't have beds or
13 14	So you don't have beds or MR. APENAM PONE: No, this
13 14 15	So you don't have beds or MR. APENAM PONE: No, this isn't a treatment centre, but we feel like we need to have
13 14 15 16	So you don't have beds or MR. APENAM PONE: No, this isn't a treatment centre, but we feel like we need to have a treatment centre that is controlled by native people.
13 14 15 16	So you don't have beds or MR. APENAM PONE: No, this isn't a treatment centre, but we feel like we need to have a treatment centre that is controlled by native people. We feel that the rehab doesn't work for the community,
13 14 15 16 17	MR. APENAM PONE: No, this isn't a treatment centre, but we feel like we need to have a treatment centre that is controlled by native people. We feel that the rehab doesn't work for the community, and we feel that in order for the rehab centre to work,
13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. APENAM PONE: No, this isn't a treatment centre, but we feel like we need to have a treatment centre that is controlled by native people. We feel that the rehab doesn't work for the community, and we feel that in order for the rehab centre to work, we need to join together with the Inuit and the Innu in
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. APENAM PONE: No, this isn't a treatment centre, but we feel like we need to have a treatment centre that is controlled by native people. We feel that the rehab doesn't work for the community, and we feel that in order for the rehab centre to work, we need to join together with the Inuit and the Innu in order to make it work, and just the Innu and the Inuit

June 17, 1992

Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples

So what you're doing is mainly preventive, or trying, 1 2 through consultation and advice, to--MR. APENAM PONE: Yeah, 3 4 that's what we're doing, just counselling and looking after 5 people when they come out of treatment. And right now, 6 we've been using the treatment centre in [Sept Isle?], 7 Quebec, which is outside the province, because we feel that our people speak the language better there, and they 9 speak our language at the treatment centre, so we send people there. In order for us to send them there, we have 10 11 to try to get people in the rehab centre first, and then 12 send them to [Washat?] if there's no beds available in 13 the rehab centre. 14 To me, I feel I would like 15 to see it going the other way. I would like to see where 16 people can speak the language better, look at [Washat?] 17 first, and then look at the rehab centre, because we don't 18 have any counsellors at the rehab centre right now. We don't have Inuit counsellors. And most people have 19 20 difficulty speaking the English language. 21 CO-CHAIR RENE DUSSAULT: 22 How often do you visit schools? You said that you speak

StenoTran

to the students in the schools.

23

June 17, 1992

Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples

1	MR. APENAM PONE: We used
2	to speak to students last year often, but right now we
3	have a lack of staff, and we couldn't do it all the time,
4	because we had to send one of our counsellors into the
5	country to see if mobile treatment would work, and we
6	haven't looked at it yet and see what happened.
7	CO-CHAIR RENE DUSSAULT:
8	Thank you.
9	MR. APENAM PONE: Thank
10	you, Mr. Chairman.
11	MR. BEN MICHEL: I guess
12	the next person that's on the list of speakers is Chieftess
13	Katie Rich from Davis Inlet, and I guess she's very well
14	known in the community here as the Chief of Davis Inlet,
15	and the first woman chief in Innu society, as far as I
16	can recall. I guess she is going to be talking about the
17	problems of Davis Inlet, and I'm just presuming this, Mr.
18	Chairman, that I guess she'll be talking about the report
19	that was released about two days ago, which has gone to
20	the Canadian Human Rights Commission.
21	CO-CHAIR RENE DUSSAULT:
22	Good morning.
23	CHIEF KATIE RICH, DAVIS

June 17, 1992

Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples

1	INLET: Good morning. On February 14th of this year, we
2	had a tragic accident in Davis [Innugemasage?]. Six
3	children died in a house fire, and it's very hard to talk
4	about the deaths of these six children. It was the saddest
5	thing that ever happened to our community, and we have
6	seen so much tragedy in Davis Innugemasage. At the end
7	of my presentation, I will be submitting a report that
8	was released a few days ago, and in the report, you will
9	find that of the deaths in the community since 1969, of
10	these 66 deaths, 47 were alcohol related. But it was this
11	fire in February that has brought us together to look at
12	our problems, to bring our lives together, and to say that
13	we must do something. Too many people have died.
14	In February, after the
15	fire, we asked the Federal Minister Tom Siddon, to call
16	for a public inquiry. When he said no, the Innu nation
17	and the Moosha Innu Band Council decided to raise funds
18	ourselves and to hold the inquiry on our own. We chose
19	how we would do this, and this has been an important first
20	step in our rebuilding our lives.
21	In the 1930s, the Innu
22	people were settled into a place called Nutauk, which is
23	a few miles from old Davis. The Innu decided that they

June 17, 1992

Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples

1	had enough. They did not like where they were settled,
2	so one night they decided to go back to old Davis. But
3	again, in 1967, they were moved again to new Davis Inlet,
4	in which we are now, and the promises of better living
5	conditions, better housing, water and sewer, but these
6	promises were never fulfilled.
7	As I mentioned before, we
8	called an inquiry after the fire, and we called this inquiry
9	[native phrase]. The inquiry is called "Gathering of
10	Voices: Finding the Strength to Help Our Children." We
11	developed a process in which we wanted to look back at
12	what happened to us over the past 30 years since we were
13	settled in Davis Innugemasage. One of the first problems
14	that we needed to talk about was the alcohol and the
15	gas-sniffing among children. Last Friday, a few of the
16	girls started sniffing gas, and during the early morning
17	of Saturday, they broke every single window in the school.
18	When they were asked why they were doing this, they said
19	they just want to get out of the Davis. They wanted to
20	go somewhere where they can live with water, with sewer,
21	with better conditions.
22	In the population of 168
23	adults, 123 are chronic alcoholics or abusers of alcohol.

June 17, 1992

Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples

Т	Ninety percent of all court cases in Davis Inlet are the
2	result of alcohol abuse. We looked at how we ended up
3	in Innugemasage, and what we had lost by settling there.
4	What we lost mostly was control over our lives. During
5	the weeks of the inquiry, we listened to our elders who
6	spoke to us of the life that we used to live as Innu people.
7	They can recall when we were healthy and strong and our
8	children were safe and happy. Then, we used to help and
9	not fight each other. In the village, we don't even have
10	water to drink. As early as 1969, water problems started.
11	People began to drink contaminated water, and many people
12	have been getting sick because of it. Some of our people
13	spoke of how they felt about living on the island where
14	we are now is like living in a dump. No one can live a
15	healthy life there.
16	Five studies have been done
17	over the past few years to find drinking water for the
18	whole community, and none of them has come up with any
19	that would be suitable for the community to have drinking
20	water. During the inquiry, we listened to each other speak
21	about the impact that the government, the church, the
22	school, the social services clinic and the police have
23	had on our lives. Many of the people expressed the belief

June 17, 1992

Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples

1	that we have lost too much by giving over power to these
2	non-Innu organizations. If we are to have a future, we
3	feel that we must be the ones who begin to take
4	responsibility for such things in our lives once again.
5	As one of the couples in our village said during the
6	inquiry, in the past, we were like we were asleep. White
7	people were doing everything for us. We thought white
8	people knew everything, but we were wrong. The advice
9	they gave us never worked.
10	In the conclusion of the
11	report, you will find recommendations outlining steps that
12	we feel we need and are ready to take in order to work
13	together to regain control of our lives. We need to become
14	more self-sufficient once again, and to practice our ways,
15	our culture, traditions, values and spirituality. We need
16	to stop drinking, and our children must stop sniffing gas.
17	It was the view of all
18	people that in order to achieve a new and healthy life,
19	we must relocate, to move away from this island to a place
20	where there can be better health and living conditions,
21	a place where we can deal with the problems facing us.
22	Relocation is the first priority for us, and this time,
23	it will be an Innu decision, not the decision of the

June 17, 1992

Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples

1	government or the church.
2	During the inquiry, we have
3	tried to listen to our children. All children need to
4	be healthy, they need to be loved and cared for. In many
5	ways, this inquiry and our desire to change expresses the
6	hope that we will find the strength to help our children.
7	Thank you.
8	CO-CHAIR RENE DUSSAULT:
9	I would like to thank you very much for coming and speaking
10	to us this morning. Of course, we are all too aware of
11	what has happened on February 14 in Davis Inlet, and we
12	are certainly happy to have a copy of the report that was
13	released on Monday.
14	CHIEF KATIE RICH: By the
15	way, they are \$15 each.
16	CO-CHAIR RENE DUSSAULT:
17	I hope that this Commission will be able to visit Davis
18	Inlet in the other round of our hearings. We understand
19	from what we've heard and read so far that the basic problem
20	has to do with the relocation that happened many years
21	ago and the situation that has developed from there, and
22	we would like to hope that a basic solution will be brought
23	upon as soon as possible. So certainly, we know that there

June 17, 1992

Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples

1	are problems of alcohol and other problems that you've
2	mentioned, but we know that there is a more basic problem
3	that has to be solved before starting, really, to improve
4	the situation.
5	We thank you very much
6	again.
7	CHIEF KATIE RICH: Before
8	I go, I would like to ask the Commission if they would
9	do an interim report of the report that I'll be submitting.
LO	CO-CHAIR RENE DUSSAULT:
L1	First of all, we're going to have a look at the report
L2	of the inquiry, and generally speaking, we have the power
L3	to issue interim reports or studies or particular
L 4	commentaries or whatever. But I can't, this morning,
L5	commit ourselves, but what I can say is we will do
L 6	everything we can to visit Davis Inlet
L7	CHIEF KATIE RICH: Would
L8	you be able to tell me the date you will be coming to Davis?
L 9	CO-CHAIR RENE DUSSAULT:
20	Well, we will be in touch long in advance with your
21	community to make sure that we will have an agreement on
22	how and when it will be acceptable and the best for
23	everybody when we will come here. So we won't come without

June 17, 1992 Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples

1	consultation and discussion beforehand, but we'll try to
2	do so in the fall.
3	Thank you very much.
4	COMMISSIONER VIOLA
5	ROBINSON: I want to thank you for your presentation here
6	this morning. Did I hear you correctly here that when
7	you asked for the Minister to do an inquiry, you were
8	refused, and you raised money to do your own?
9	CHIEF KATIE RICH: That's
10	right.
11	COMMISSIONER VIOLA
12	ROBINSON: So you had to raise money to do your own inquiry.
13	CHIEF KATIE RICH: That's
14	right.
15	COMMISSIONER VIOLA
16	ROBINSON: I really commend you and your community. I
17	think that if there ever was a self-governing thinking,
18	I think that certainly evolved there.
19	CHIEF KATIE RICH:
20	Actually, it was the Innu nation that raised funds.
21	COMMISSIONER VIOLA
22	ROBINSON: Yes, that's what I'm saying, that's a
23	showwhen people say "What's self-government?" I think

June 17, 1992

Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples

Τ.	that's a good demonstration of real, true self-government.
2	I also want to tell you that
3	in our first round of hearings in places where I've beenas
4	a Commissioner, we don't always travel together, we're
5	always going to different placesthe issue that has beer
6	brought up here with respect to alcohol and with respect
7	to the problems that you're having there has been raised
8	over and over again, and it's so very true that I think
9	our people are lost. I think they've been overcome by
10	other cultures and other dominance, and we've lost our
11	way, our spirituality, language, culture, which are the
12	most important things. You can't have a culture, you can't
13	be a nation, if you don't have the language, first, and
14	that's been lost through no fault of ours. And I think
15	this has created, in this day and age now, the generation
16	now is really lost and very confused, and now they're trying
17	to find a way back, and it's the elders, and there are
18	not too many elders left, that have to come back now and
19	to help our people. I think that they're calling it a
20	healing process. Alcoholism and all the problems, social
21	problems, that our people, and particularly our young
22	people, are going through, experiencing in their
23	communities now is like a sickness, and we need a healing

June 17, 1992

Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples

1	process, and we need a healing process that's our own,
2	and I think we can only do that ourselves, and this is
3	what we've been hearing. Somebody in this country has
4	to realize that this is what's needed, and this is what
5	we have tao do, and it's only us that can do that.
6	I share your views here,
7	and when I heard that, because I'm from Nova Scotia and
8	I'm a Mi'kmaq, and I heard and I watched what happened
9	in February, I had a very strong feeling that that's where
10	we should have gone in the first place. That's where we
11	should have been as a Commission. These are the places
12	we have to visit, so I hope that somebody from the
13	Commission will go to your community and do whatever we
14	can to support, because I really think you know what has
15	to be done. All you need is to be able to do it. So thank
16	you.
17	COMMISSIONER MARY SILLETT:
18	I, too, would like to thank you very much for your
19	excellent presentation. I think congratulations are in
20	order to you because you are the first Innu woman chief
21	in Labrador, and congratulations. And I think you
22	demonstrated this morning that the community of Davis Inlet
23	is in very capable hands under your leadership.

June 17, 1992

Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples

1	I would also like to
2	commend the Innu nation for raising the funds on its own
3	to do something that it thought was necessary, and I think
4	there are no righter words than the ones you spoke which
5	says this time it will be a decision of the Innu, not of
6	the church or the government, and I wish you well. You
7	can rest assured that the Commission will take the
8	recommendation to come to Davis Inlet very, very seriously.
9	
L 0	CO-CHAIR RENE DUSSAULT:
L1	Thank you very much.
L2	MR. BEN MICHEL: The next
L3	speaker is George Rich. He's the executive assistant to
L 4	the vice-president of the Innu nation, and he's also a
L5	counsellor on the [Muswoweno?] Band Council in Davis Inlet.
L 6	I'm not sure what issue he will be talking about.
L7	CO-CHAIR RENE DUSSAULT:
L 8	Good morning.
L 9	MR. GEORGE RICH, INNU
20	NATION AND DAVIS INLET BAND COUNCIL: Good morning. I
21	only have a few things that I want to say that need to
22	be addressed. I think it's the right way to get attention.
23	You're saying that this Commission will be over sometime

June 17, 1992

Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples

1	in 1994? I think that's going to be too late then.
2	I want to elaborate more
3	on what Katie was saying. In the past three or four days,
4	our kids have been sniffing gas and doing damage to a school
5	at Davis Inlet. We estimate the damage to be around
6	\$10,000 to repair it and have the windows shipped in and
7	all that. That's a sign that somebody is not listening
8	to us in both levels of governments.
9	We have approached
10	Premier WElls back in 1991. Our major concern of gas
11	sniffing was on the agenda. He was saying that he would
12	do everything in his power to help us out, but this was
13	coming from Premier Wells, which I don't believe what he
14	said any more.
15	The band council has been
16	working closely with the alcohol program for the past two
17	years, and I said we have been successful in identifying
18	our problems and the solutions.
19	One of the things that we
20	identified is to have a treatment centre in the country
21	where people were gathered before many years ago. I think
22	the kids in Davis Inlet right now, we're trying to send
23	out to a group home here in this community, which I don't

June 17, 1992

Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples

1	think is the right approach to handle that problem, because
2	it's a band-aid solution. What we need are concrete
3	solutions, long-term solutions, and that solution, we
4	believe, is to have a treatment centre in the country,
5	and that's something that should be addressed right away
6	in both levels of government, because right now, we have
7	someone working with us. It seems to me that nobody is
8	listening, nobody is listening to us, because we are not
9	entitled to most of the Federal programs that are available
10	to other native communities across Canada.
11	Usuallyreferring to the court system
12	right nowusually when this persons, the gas-sniffers,
13	were locked up in jail, they were usually sent to St. John's
14	for psychiatric treatment, and nothing has been done to
15	them. We have a young fellow who stayed there at one of
16	those institutions in St. John's, and later, back in the
17	community, he had done the same thing again, and the next
18	thing that happens is he's going to court again and sent
19	to a correctional centre here in the Valley. And that's
20	not a solution. And that young fellow now is still
21	sniffing gas because there's doesn't seem to be access
22	to other native treatment centres across Canada, because
23	they seem to be full all the time, or maybe there's no

June 17, 1992

Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples

1	funding available.
2	What we need right now is
3	a treatment centre somewhere in the country where we could
4	send all the people that need the treatment, that need
5	the help it can give, because we cannot send all the
6	community to a treatment centre here across the river or
7	a treatment centre in [Washat?], or other treatment centres
8	all across Canada. I think what's needed here is an
9	Innu-owned and Innu-controlled treatment centres, and this
10	is one of the things I wanted to outline.
11	Another thing that I wanted
12	to discuss is the law enforcement officers that are needed
13	in the community right away. What happened after the fire
14	of February 14th, all the nice publicity of the RCMP.
15	They're saying that they don't have a permanent station
16	at Davis Inlet. I seems to me that that's not helping
17	the community at all, because right now, there's nobody
18	stationed at the community, and they all went back to
19	Hopedale. That's not the right way of dealing with some
20	of our problems that exist in our community.
21	Also, I'm happy to say that
22	we sent two of our own people to Vancouver, the native
23	justice institute there, that is run by a well-known Micmac

June 17, 1992

Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples

1	person there, Jim Maloney I think, and I think that is
2	credible, and that could do a much more better job that
3	the other RCMP institutions or the other provincial police
4	training institutions.
5	We met with the Provincial
6	Minister of Justice and Clyde Wells just recently, a couple
7	of weeks ago, to discuss this issue. This seems to be
8	turned around, and they're saying that you are treated
9	as equals like any other people in this province, which
10	I don't believe is right. I think we really have different
11	opinions and different ideas from any other governments.
12	They want to pin down on us that this is my idea, you
13	do this and you do this. Apparently, we haven't heard
14	anything about that yet, and just to [graduates?] will
15	probably be in Davis Inlet within two or three weeks' time.
16	I think another thing here,
17	too, is the Innu have approached in the right direction,
18	like you've talked about the self-government now, because
19	we are trying to do what we think is best for our people
20	in our communities, like trained police officer, rather
21	than the RCMP or RNC doing that for us, because we don't
22	have the RNC, maybe that's what may be in the plans right
23	now to get those people in there, but there's always been

June 17, 1992

23 and Postville, I think.

Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples

1	a question about that, and that's one of the things that
2	I want to outline.
3	CO-CHAIR RENE DUSSAULT:
4	Thank you very much for your good presentation. I think
5	that your request in particular for a treatment centre,
6	an Innu-controlled treatment centre, is certainly
7	something we would want to look at. We realize that the
8	situation is a bit more difficult, specifically in
9	Newfoundland, because of the situation that the province
10	is facing as far as the Innu people are concerned and their
11	relationship with the Federal Government.
12	When we were in Makkovik,
13	we had been asked also tothey mentioned that they would
14	like to have a permanent officer of the RCMP, so it has
15	to do with the whole organization of the policing system.
16	What is the actual
17	population of Davis Inlet?
18	MR. GEORGE RICH: 500.
19	CO-CHAIR RENE DUSSAULT:
20	500. And the closest RCMP is
21	MR. GEORGE RICH: Is 60
22	miles south in Hopedale, which also they cover Makkovik

June 17, 1992

Royal Commission on

Aboriginal Peoples

1	CO-CHAIR RENE DUSSAULT:
2	And do you send people to the treatment centre near Goose
3	Bay?
4	MR. GEORGE RICH: No, here
5	across the river, I think there's probably around 30
6	families already went there in the last two or three years,
7	and what we don't have is a support system in the community.
8	Also, when somebody returns from the treatment centres,
9	they usually see their house is in a bad condition, this
10	causes them misery, and also there's no jobs available
11	in the community for them when they return. There's also
12	the problem that they usually turn around and drink again.
13	That's what usually happens.
14	CO-CHAIR RENE DUSSAULT:
15	In this community, do you have something like that, a
16	program
17	MR. GEORGE RICH: Yeah, we
18	do have an alcohol program. Right now, our workers are
19	in a treatment centre right now in Kentville, Nova Scotia.
20	They're undertaking training.
21	CO-CHAIR RENE DUSSAULT:
22	But normally, what is the budget of your program and
23	MR. GEORGE RICH: The

June 17, 1992

Royal Commission on

Aboriginal Peoples

1	budget,	Ι	think,	is	about	the	same	as	in	She	eshatshi	Lu.
2							CO-CI	IAI	RI	ENE	DUSSAUI	LT:

- 3 As here.
- 4 MR. GEORGE RICH: As the
- 5 program here. And you have to look at the high cost of
- 6 living in northern Labrador, too, and the salaries are
- 7 not very good. You couldn't have someone working
- 8 full-time, 12 hours or 20 hours a day. You can't have
- 9 someone on 21,000 salary to go for that. What we've been
- 10 trying to do for the last four years now is trying to make
- 11 the salaries look much better than we had in the budget.
- 12 CO-CHAIR RENE DUSSAULT:
- 13 Thank you.
- 14 COMMISSIONER VIOLA
- 15 ROBINSON: Did you say you don't have the same access to
- 16 programs as other communities have?
- 17 MR. GEORGE RICH: Yes,
- 18 that's what I said. The other final is the health--social
- 19 work, we don't have access to social work. We don't have
- 20 education, we don't have the same--
- 21 COMMISSIONER VIOLA
- 22 ROBINSON: CHRs, and stuff like that, you don't have them.
- 23 MR. GEORGE RICH: We do

June 17, 1992

Royal Commission on

Aboriginal Peoples

- 1 have a little bit. We do have a health agreement here,
- 2 and we provide a CHR course. What we don't have is access
- 3 to other training programs that are available.
- 4 COMMISSIONER VIOLA
- 5 ROBINSON: That other bands have?
- 6 MR. GEORGE RICH: Yeah,
- 7 that other bands have.
- 8 COMMISSIONER VIOLA
- 9 ROBINSON: I wonder why? What is their reasoning for not
- 10 giving you the same kinds of programs? You've obviously
- 11 made the approach to them, did you?
- 12 MR. GEORGE RICH: Yeah, I
- 13 couldn't answer that, ma'am. Maybe someone in the Innu
- 14 nation could answer it. But one of the major problems,
- 15 I think right now, is the terms of union with the
- 16 Newfoundland and Canada --
- 17 COMMISSIONER VIOLA
- 18 ROBINSON: They're treating you differently than the rest
- 19 of Canada.
- 20 MR. GEORGE RICH: Yes.
- 21 They don't have reserves in Labrador. We're non-status.
- 22 We are not Newfoundlanders yet under federal--
- 23 COMMISSIONER VIOLA

June 17, 1992

Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples

- 1 ROBINSON: And treatment centres--like, for instance, we
- 2 have two in Nova Scotia, one on the mainland and one in
- 3 Cape Breton. And you said somebody was training in--did
- 4 you say Kentville?
- 5 MR. GEORGE RICH:
- 6 Kentville, [Crosby?] House. It just recently started this
- 7 week, because I think that's the only one that is available
- 8 in the Maritimes. If you want to send someone next month,
- 9 it's going to take awhile to get them processed. Right
- 10 now, we are in a very desperate situation. We've got kids
- 11 who are heavy gas sniffers, and there are no treatment
- 12 centres available in Canada.
- 13 COMMISSIONER VIOLA
- 14 ROBINSON: Well, I think you've got a very strong case
- 15 here for something. O.K., that's fine. Thank you.
- 16 MR. BEN MICHEL: The next
- 17 speaker would have been David Nuke, who is the
- 18 vice-president, but he has cancelled because he's going
- 19 to wait for it to happen in Davis Inlet. He's not here,
- 20 and I don't see Ann Marie Penashue, who would otherwise
- 21 have been the next speaker, so we'll go to the next one,
- 22 who is a an elder of this community here, Simon Michel.
- 23 MR. SIMON MICHEL,

June 17, 1992

Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples

4	COMMUNITY REPRESENTATIVE, [INTERPRETER]: I'm not going
2	to say very much, I'm going to be brief, and what I'm going
3	to say is what I feel about how the government is treating
4	us through low-level training programs in our land. They
5	are polluting our land, and this is one of the things that
6	I don't like, and also the animals are paralysed, polluted.
7	Government is polluting our land.
8	If the government is really
9	trying to help the Innuit, thenhow we live in the past.
LO	We never interfere with the government, and that there
L1	was no such thing as non-native people livingwhen the
L2	non-native people found this land here, this is where they
L3	lived. Today, all the native
L 4	people across Canada are going to stand tall for themselves
L5	and what they want to do with the resources in the land
L 6	and how they can profit from this land.
L 7	Everywhere in our land it
L 8	is that you stand tall, and treating us better. They're
L 9	not treating us any better. You're treating the native
20	people and also the Innuit people the sameunfair. When
21	we used to live in the early days, we lived peacefully
22	and in a healthy way.
2.3	In the Churchill Falls

June 17, 1992

Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples

1	hydro, the dam was closed, and the trees were over-flooded.
2	When you look at the industry, the lumber industry, you're
3	preventing us from doing things. It is our land. You
4	should not prevent our doing things.
5	This is how I feel, this
6	is how I think. Everyone of the Innu people doesn't like
7	the low-level flying. It's wasting our lives. The water
8	is going to be contaminated, the water will be polluted.
9	This is all I have to say.
L 0	
L1	CO-CHAIR RENE DUSSAULT:
L2	I just wanted to tell you that we are very happy that you
L3	came to tell us how things have changed, and we hope that
L3 L4	came to tell us how things have changed, and we hope that we will be able to hear about what could be done to make
L 4	we will be able to hear about what could be done to make
L4 L5	we will be able to hear about what could be done to make it a better place, and we understand that there have been
L4 L5 L6	we will be able to hear about what could be done to make it a better place, and we understand that there have been problems with development, with Churchill Falls, but the
L4 L5 L6 L7	we will be able to hear about what could be done to make it a better place, and we understand that there have been problems with development, with Churchill Falls, but the low flying is the major issue.
L4 L5 L6 L7	we will be able to hear about what could be done to make it a better place, and we understand that there have been problems with development, with Churchill Falls, but the low flying is the major issue. I just wanted to thank you
L4 L5 L6 L7 L8	we will be able to hear about what could be done to make it a better place, and we understand that there have been problems with development, with Churchill Falls, but the low flying is the major issue. I just wanted to thank you for coming and telling us your view of the changes that
L4 L5 L6 L7 L8	we will be able to hear about what could be done to make it a better place, and we understand that there have been problems with development, with Churchill Falls, but the low flying is the major issue. I just wanted to thank you for coming and telling us your view of the changes that have occurred, and the difficulties that these changes
L4 L5 L6 L7 L8 L9	we will be able to hear about what could be done to make it a better place, and we understand that there have been problems with development, with Churchill Falls, but the low flying is the major issue. I just wanted to thank you for coming and telling us your view of the changes that have occurred, and the difficulties that these changes have brought to the community. We hope that we will be

June 17, 1992

Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples

1	quality of life and the development that has occurred.
2	It's certainly true that what has happened with the
3	Churchill Falls with the low flying project has had a
4	profound effect on your life, and we hope that with better
5	understanding between aboriginals and non-aboriginals,
6	that some policy decisions would be able to be made in
7	consultation and in partnership in the future.
8	Thank you very much.
9	MR. BEN MICHEL: The next
10	speaker on the list is moi, me, so I guess I'll go to the
11	table.
12	CO-CHAIR RENE DUSSAULT:
12 13	CO-CHAIR RENE DUSSAULT: Bienvienue, Monsieur Michel.
13	Bienvienue, Monsieur Michel.
13 14	Bienvienue, Monsieur Michel. MR. BEN MICHEL: Thank you
13 14 15	Bienvienue, Monsieur Michel. MR. BEN MICHEL: Thank you for welcoming me, Mr. Chairman and fellow Commissioners.
13 14 15 16	Bienvienue, Monsieur Michel. MR. BEN MICHEL: Thank you for welcoming me, Mr. Chairman and fellow Commissioners. I guess I'll be talking a
13 14 15 16	Bienvienue, Monsieur Michel. MR. BEN MICHEL: Thank you for welcoming me, Mr. Chairman and fellow Commissioners. I guess I'll be talking a little bit about the situation that I have seen over the
13 14 15 16 17	Bienvienue, Monsieur Michel. MR. BEN MICHEL: Thank you for welcoming me, Mr. Chairman and fellow Commissioners. I guess I'll be talking a little bit about the situation that I have seen over the years with regard to the dissemination and disintegration
13 14 15 16 17 18	Bienvienue, Monsieur Michel. MR. BEN MICHEL: Thank you for welcoming me, Mr. Chairman and fellow Commissioners. I guess I'll be talking a little bit about the situation that I have seen over the years with regard to the dissemination and disintegration and the social collapse of the many different peoples in
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Bienvienue, Monsieur Michel. MR. BEN MICHEL: Thank you for welcoming me, Mr. Chairman and fellow Commissioners. I guess I'll be talking a little bit about the situation that I have seen over the years with regard to the dissemination and disintegration and the social collapse of the many different peoples in the North American continent.

June 17, 1992

Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples

т.	because I think when you look at the history of the innu,
2	because in 1965 when the community of Sheshatshiu was
3	built, and in that short span of years, and in the community
4	of Davis Inlet, in a very short span of years, as to how
5	well the oppressor have achieved to attain assimilation
6	on a people like ourselves. This is a language, I think,
7	that's not heard enough by the Federal Government, by the
8	representatives of the government, by the agents of the
9	government, at both levels of government, for all the
L 0	provinces and the two territories included. I think it's
L1	important to state these things openly, honestly and very
L2	much to the point.
L3	You sit there as a
L 4	Commissioner and a judge, and so the language I think that
L5	I'll be using, I'm sure you're very well aware of, because
L 6	I think your province, I don't know whether you're a
L7	federalist or a QuebequoisI won't say nationalistat
L 8	this point. But you sit there as a representative of the
L 9	Crown, I think is the best way to put it, and I think when
20	one is talking about justice, one is talking about social
21	order, the social welfare of a people, of a group of people,
22	such as the Innu, or the education of the Innu or the health
7 3	of the Innu

June 17, 1992

Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples

1	I think it's very fair to
2	say and very honest of me to say that everything that has
3	been imposed on us by colonialists has been very, very
4	harmful to the health, and not only to our health, but
5	to our educating our young as to who they are, because
6	the Innu number between 9,000 and 10,000 people. That's
7	not very much, but nevertheless it is and was once a great
8	nation, a nation that has never been understood or
9	recognized, simply because of the schemes and the
LO	systematic growth violations of human rights that have
L1	been committed by our oppressor, Canada, initially, Great
L2	Britain.
L3	I think it is also fair for
L 4	me to say that under resolution 15-14-15, sub-section 15
L 5	of the United Nations is a very appropriate one in this
L 6	case, and that is the granting of independence to colonial
L7	peoples and countries, colonial countries and peoples.
L 8	I think what I'm expressing
L 9	here has been expressed throughout with many different
20	headings. One that's in the process right now which really
21	doesn't mean very much, but it sounds very good is
22	self-government. I don't know what the Federal Government
23	means by it, it won't define what it means. When I speak

about a people's right of self-determination, I speak of

June 17, 1992

Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples

2	it in terms of the international communities meaning, and
3	that is that Canada has no right to make a choice for us
4	as to what our politics are going to be, as to what our
5	economics are going to be, as to what our education is
6	going to be, as to what our religion is going to be, and
7	to what we want to build ourselves culturally into. I
8	think Canada has destroyed that, and I might cite some
9	of the things that the chief of Davis Inlet cited earlier
LO	of the social collapse that's happening there, and I can
L1	honestly attribute that I think has a cause of colonial
L2	mentality.
L3	I think it's very important
L 4	that this Commission sets its standards, because the issue
L 5	is the Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples, as I see
L 6	it to be. I think it's very important that this Royal
L7	Commission, in whatever hearings that they have, also take
L8	their findings not only to the Canadian Human Rights, but
L 9	act as a special rapporteur to the United Nations so that
20	these can be dealt with at the United Nations forum, at
21	a global human forum, because these are gross violations
22	that I think the people are outlining as to what Canada

June 17, 1992

Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples

1	North American continent, and I will keep on referring
2	to it as such, because I think in terms of the land claims
3	policy, the government again is trying to mask itself so
4	that its good friends in the international community will
5	regard it as a respect of human rights, which I think is
6	on the contrary.
7	I think it's also very
8	important that I say a few of the things that I have written
9	here, and that is to say the debate that is on the Royal
10	Commission on Aboriginal Peoples is not only profound,
11	but ridiculous, to the point of it being paternalistic,
12	colonial and oppressive. In today's reality, especially
13	after the breakdown of the evil East Bloc countries, it
14	is quite evident that democracy is more evil than that
15	of the Communists. At least we see here and learn of the
16	newly independent countries which were once a part of the
17	Soviet Union, albeit that they are not going very well,
18	but at least the people are making their choices, and
19	they're hopefully going to resolve their differences.
20	Historically, we know that
21	big empires do fall. No matter how small a people, they
22	must never give in to systematic oppression, suppression,
23	and colonial exploitation. Canada has not only attempted

June 17, 1992

Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples

1	to assimilate us, but taken away our self-esteem and
2	dignity and pride as a people. The liberty of a people
3	taken away have always fallen, withered and died. There
4	are those of us who have been so assimilated that we car
5	no longer believe that we have the will to resist, but
6	believe that if we don't go along, we will lose everything.
7	The means to express our resistance has been "You are
8	breaking the law." That's a quote. Whose law? Are we
9	a people? Have we been conquered? If so, when and how?
10	In the 1945 Treaty, when the League of Nations was first
11	formed, conquership was totally erased. That is why I
12	say when and how. If not, how did Canada, the provinces
13	and the territories, gain control of our lands, resources,
14	sea, ice and air space? Can a country like Canada take
15	over lands, resources, sea, ice and air space of a small
16	populated peopleis it just and rightwith its show of
17	military might? Can a people like the Innu cease to exist
18	because they are told by a country that they have no rights
19	because they are protected by a military might country
20	like Canada? Will Canada allow me to tell my children
21	that they have no rights as Innu, but have rights as
22	Canadians? Will Canada allow me to speak to them of the
23	right of self-determination? Will Canada allow me to

June 17, 1992

Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples

1	speak to my children of their language, culture, history
2	and race? Can Canada deny and deprive my children of their
3	language, culture, history, religion and race? If so,
4	why is it going to the extreme of having this Royal
5	Commission on Aboriginal Peoples? I say Canada cannot
6	do these things because the international law does not
7	allow any country to do these things, nor does the
8	international community favour these violations to be
9	committed against a people like ourselves.
10	Canada once again is
11	masking itself in the form of a Royal Commission to
12	legitimize its denying of a people's right of
13	self-determination and rightful place in the global human
14	community. Furthermore, it has and is seen as a respecter
15	of human rights by the international community because
16	we, as peoples of the North American continent, have not
17	educated the international community to the point of
18	exposing Canada of gross violations of human rights and
19	denial of the people's right of self-determination.
20	I can go on forever, but
21	I feel I can do more justice if I speak a little on the
22	panel of Commissioners we have here. And the way I would
23	like to start is, I used to watch cowboys and Indians movies

June 17, 1992

Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples

1	where there were Indians being used as scouts for the
2	cavalry or the yellow bandannas, tracking Indians who had
3	escaped and didn't want to be in reservations so they would
4	be free people. I pray that there will be no more scouts
5	for the government to use so that we may be free. Don't
6	legitimize the government mask. Instead, expose them.
7	United, we are strong. At the time, the scouts probably
8	said to themselves we are doing the right thing by bringing
9	back other Indians who resist. Today, we see the wrong
10	in that. How are we going to say that today? I hope we
11	no longer are a scout for the government, because I think,
12	for us, I think I speak quite strongly of my personal
13	feelings as an individual, and I think a lot of people
14	right across this country feel that way, because they're
15	talking about self-government, but the ideology of
16	peoplehood and nationhood is not yet fully understood or
17	cannot be expressed in the language that can best be
18	understood by, in inverted commas, "the European norm."
19	
20	For me, I am proud to be
21	Innu. At one time, I wasn't sure who I was, because of
22	my education and because of the assimilation machine that
23	I had gone through. I was confused, totally confused,

June 17, 1992

23

Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples

1	as to who I was. I would look at my skin sometimes, it's
2	brown, and I would say I must be a brown face or something,
3	you know. But today, I think I know who I am. I know
4	where I belong. I know what my rights are, as far as the
5	contemporary international law is concerned.
6	I heard some ridiculous
7	arguments about the philosophy of myself. Just recently
8	on the national news, I heard why don't we give them a
9	bow and arrow and send them off into the wild. What a
10	stereotype, racist, rhetorical statement. If I were to
11	answer that, even if the Prime Minister of Canada were
12	to say that, as the Premier of the Province of Newfoundland
13	has just said about the report the [Muswoweno?] Band
14	Council that got out there a few days ago. What if an
15	Innu comes to St. John's, Premier Wells was saying, and
16	he says no, the laws don't apply to me here. I don't think
17	that was the issue. If the Prime Minister were to say
18	to me, I will give you a bow and arrow, and you get back
19	to your life the way it was, I would say thank you very
20	much, but I would return the favour, and I would tell him
21	take all the Europeans back to Europe.
22	And I think that's a very

StenoTran

sensible argument, because when Canada was still a colony

June 17, 1992

22

23

Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples

1	of Crost Pritain, before it became Canada, and it was still
т	of Great Britain, before it became Canada, and it was still
2	under the control of the British North America Act, I think
3	it's very important to say that the British had the very
4	same problem in the Sahara situation, the Western Sahara
5	situation, and they called it the Bluewater Doctrine.
6	And the very same principle applies here. Great Britain
7	is over there. Newfoundland is on an island. There's
8	still the water, the blue water, which was a principle
9	used for the Western Sahara to become an independent nation
10	state.
11	Maybe you can tell me this
12	is out of line, this is not the thing that we want. But
13	as much as I respect Canada for what it has done for itself,
14	and for its achievements as it ha built itself to the state
15	it's in today, it's all a lie. It has built itself, its
16	foundation, on lies.
17	At the time, we may have
18	been very primitive, and as far as I can recall, even in
19	1963, I think we were still, in the province of
20	Newfoundland, and especially Quebec in the dispute of the
21	Labrador boundary. The land was said to be "Terra

StenoTran

[Nolius?]", which is a legal term, I think, to mean that

nobody lives there, which meant, of course, that we were

June 17, 1992

Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples

1	nothing, we were animals, we were savages. But we were
2	here. We are here now, today. It is not my fault that
3	Canada is in the crisis that Canada is in today, and I
4	have no sympathy at all for Canada in the situation it's
5	in.
6	Like I said, historically,
7	we have known that empires do fall. And I hope in the
8	very near future that the empire will fall in North America,
9	and that new nations will emerge, as they rightfully
10	should, and become a global human family, because I think
11	we can be damned good leaders in the fight against the
12	environment issues throughout the world, because we can
13	live as what was once thought to be bad, backward,
14	primitive, and I think we've going to be getting back to
15	what was once bad, backward and primitive. But I think
16	we can learn a lot from that, or otherwise, we'll all
17	destroy ourselves. I think we can become a great nation
18	and a good, honourable global human family.
1.9	Thank you.
20	CO-CHAIR RENE DUSSAULT:
21	Thank you very much, Mr. Michel, for presenting us, in
22	a forceful manner, with a good reflection on what are the
23	fundamental issues that have brought this Commission to

June 17, 1992

Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples

1	be created.
2	What you've been telling
3	us, what lies behind the necessity of building a new
4	relationship on an equal basis between aboriginal people
5	and non-aboriginal people in this country, and I can tell
6	you for my part, and I'm speaking on behalf of all the
7	Commissioners, that we do not feel that we have been
8	appointed or that we're here to legitimize a way of
9	thinking. We are really here to try to find the common
L 0	ground that could bring back together aboriginal people
L1	and non-aboriginal people in this country, and that's the
L2	reason why we want to hear from both sides. We want to
L3	make sure that the stereotypes that are there will at least
L 4	start being erased by better public education, and also
L5	that everybody will see as an asset working together in
L 6	building the future.
L7	We have, of course, to look
L8	at precise issues and situations that will help us and
L 9	bring us in that new relationship. Education is certainly
20	one of the major issues. The problem of alcohol that we've
21	discussed this morning is also very important, and we
22	understand that there are many reasons behind those things.
23	They are only a symptom of a cause that has to be erased

June 17, 1992

23

Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples

1	and cured and strengthened, and that's the reason why we
2	need your participation. We need you not only to remind
3	us of what you told us, but also, I hope that you will
4	be able to give us a hand in terms of how we should start
5	to turn the things the way they should be in the future,
6	in the coming century.
7	So we thank you very much
8	again for your eloquent presentation, and we are certainly
9	going to use it as a backdrop or background for our hearings
10	here in Sheshatshiu. Thank you very much.
11	MR. BEN MICHEL: Thank
12	you. But I think when one is talking about equality, and
13	the Royal Commission being the sounding board as to how
14	we can have commonalities, I think we do have
15	commonalities, and I think we have to respect those
16	commonalities, not only as equals, but as peoples, a
17	nation-to-nation situation, and sovereign and sovereign,
18	because I think these are important.
19	That is why we have the
20	social collapse. We have seen that right throughout the
21	world where colonization has been the instrument of having
22	peoples being dispossessed of the lands and the very base

StenoTran

of which they subsist. And when assimilation plays a role,

June 17, 1992

23

but that's the truth.

Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples

1	we see social collapse, death and violence and everything
2	else. I mean, not violence, necessarily, in the context
3	that we have seen in Mozambique or other countries that
4	have recently become independent, but there is a way, I
5	think, that death has already happened, and that is the
6	way of how India became an independent nation state from
7	Great Britain.
8	I think we have always
9	allowed Canada to do what it will on us, but I think it's
10	time we said look, we're adults, we're civilized, and
11	respect us as such and recognize our responsible role.
12	And if democracy is to work, it has to allow us to be that.
13	COMMISSIONER VIOLA
14	ROBINSON: I want to thank you for your strong, eloquent
15	presentation. It's something, I think, that has to be
16	said, and it has to be said more often by our people, has
17	to be said to this Commission. And I assure you I'm not
18	going to be a scout, either.
19	When you talk about people
20	who their nationhood is not understood, you're so right
21	in that. It's not understood by Canadians, and it's not
22	understood by a lot of our own people, it's sad to say,

June 17, 1992

Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples

1	I think what you've said
2	has hit the nail on the head, it's not being said enough
3	by our people, and I think we need to hear these things,
4	because it's something that I believe in, as a Micmac who
5	has been, as you say, colonized, ever since the early 1500s.
6	We've done well to survive, barely survive, and we almost
7	lost it, but we're there, and just coming back into the
8	nationhood that we started out to be back in the 1500s.
9	So we've had to go through
10	an awful lot. We've gone through every process of
11	assimilation that you can talk about in Canada, and
12	certainly we managed to survive. You end up having to
13	rely on the courts, like we did, and even with that, you
14	can't convince the rest of Canada of what you mean by
15	peoplehood and nationhood. And as you say, we need respect
16	and dignity, as a people and as a nation. That's being
17	changed by government attitude and by legislation and
18	policy, and it was almost lost.
19	But I'm certainly glad that
20	you made this presentation, and it will be recorded, and
21	I hope we hear more of these kinds of presentations, so
22	I thank you.
23	MR. BEN MICHEL: As I said,

June 17, 1992

23

Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples

1	maybe I should remind you once again, as Commissioners,
2	that I only hope that you use this material, as
3	Commissioners, as Royal Commissioners, but take all these
4	grievances to the Canadian Human Rights Commission, and
5	then furthermore, if necessary, take it to the Human Rights
6	Commission or the Human Rights Committee in either Geneva
7	or New York, because these are violations of human rights,
8	all the things that you will be hearing, so that they can
9	be properly registered in the global community.
10	Thank you.
11	CO-CHAIR RENE DUSSAULT:
12	I think it is time to adjourn for lunch now.
13	Hearing is recessed at 1226 hours
14	Upon resuming at 1353 hours
15	MR. BEN MICHEL: The next
16	person that's on the speaker's list is Kirk Lethbridge,
17	and he is with the Metis Association, and I'm not sure
18	what he is going to be talking about.
19	MR. KIRK LETHBRIDGE,
20	LABRADOR METIS ASSOCIATION: Good evening, ladies and
21	gentlemen. My name is Kirk Lethbridge, and I represent
22	the Labrador Metis Association. I would like to thank

StenoTran

the Royal Commission for coming to Labrador and giving

June 17, 1992

23

Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples

1	us this opportunity to speak.
2	I would like everyone to
3	think back for a moment, if they will, back before
4	Christopher Columbus and before the Vikingsforgive me
5	if my voice shivers, O.K., I'm a little shywhen all
6	aboriginal nations were living in peace and harmony with
7	each other, and there was no such thing as poverty or
8	hunger. I know you know what I'm talking about, Mary.
9	No such thing as murder or prison or licenses or suicide
LO	or war or rape or alcohol, a time when the people shared
L1	their food, they danced and they laughed and sang and loved
L2	together, and they worshipped together. From generation
L3	to generation, they passed on their knowledge. They
L 4	developed their own traditions.
L 5	I'm not very good at
L 6	reading off a paper. It took me all night to write this.
L7	I slept for about half an hour.
L8	This was aboriginal
L 9	self-government. It was around maybe 100,000 years. Who
20	knows? Certainly 10,000 years before the Europeans came.
21	And today, as we sit here in this gymnasium, we have,
22	through the Royal Commission, the era of the Canadian
23	Government. Well, here's my message to the Canadian

June 17, 1992

Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples

1	Government. This is why you are here. We demand the right
2	to decide our own destiny. We demand the right to prove
3	that we are capable of managing our own affairs. Let us
4	decide what we want taught in our schools. Let us decide
5	how to manage the salmon fishery and the caribou and the
6	forests. Let us set up our own structure where we can
7	help our people to stay out of prison, or can teach our
8	young people that sniffing gasoline is not the answer,
9	suicide is not the answer, a structure of government that's
10	built on our own traditions, where elders are brought back
11	into their rightful place as the real leaders and given
12	the respect that they deserve.
13	All of us together, status,
14	non-status, reserve, off-reserve, Metis, Inuit, women,
15	childrengive us the right to decide how to fix our broken
16	homes, how to help our poor and our sick, whether they're
17	sick mentally, physically or spiritually.
18	I could go on for hours
19	about this, but I'll keep it a little short because I don't
20	want to take up all your time. I was told I had ten minutes,
21	so I thank the Innu nation for giving me the ten minutes.
22	I didn't speak yesterday because I was too shy, but I
23	think it's too important not to say something.

June 17, 1992

Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples

1	I'm an aboriginal person,
2	a member of the Labrador Metis Association. I'm on the
3	board as of the last election. This is an unfunded
4	organization. Everything we do is done through much hard
5	and dedicated work by volunteers. My reasons for
6	involvement, I believe, are quite obvious. I wish to help
7	my people, am committed to helping my people. And by "my
8	people," I mean all aboriginal groups. I don't care where
9	they live. I don't care what language they speak. It
10	makes no difference to me. We're all the same. We're
11	all from the same tree.
12	I had a dream about
13	attending university and coming back to help organize some
14	of our Innu government, and by this, I also mean territorial
15	status for Labrador. I realize there's no way I can attend
16	any college anywhere because I'm not considered to be an
17	aboriginal person by the province of Newfoundland, and
18	I don't have any money. This is not easy to swallow, but
19	I'm hoping that by the time my children are old enough,
20	there will be a system of self-government in place for
21	them to go to school.
22	I respectfully ask the
23	Commission to tell Ottawa that we're not going to stop,

June 17, 1992

Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples

1	we're not going to go away. We demand our rights as the
2	first peoples of this land. They can bury us at the bottom
3	of some file in an office or some pile of rubbish somewhere,
4	but we'll be back again, and we'll be back again, and we'll
5	be back again. My personal determination will rub off
6	on my children and their children. Some day, all
7	aboriginal people in Labrador, and indeed Canada, will
8	be under the same flag.
9	That's all I have to say.
10	CO-CHAIR RENE DUSSAULT:
11	Thank you very much. I think that it was certainly very
12	useful for us that you decided to come and tell us what
13	you wanted to tell us. Thank you.
14	MR. BEN MICHEL: I would
15	like to say that the next person on the agenda is Pien
16	Penashue. He's not here yet, so we'll go down to the next
17	person on the list, and we'll come back to Pien whenever
18	the occasion presents itself. We'll go to Elizabeth
19	Penashue now, who is an Innu spokesperson and an Innu elder.
20	MS. ELIZABETH PENASHUE
21	[INTERPRETER]: I want to thank you for inviting me here
22	to make my presentation. It has been many years since
23	we're been here occuping our land since government have

June 17, 1992

Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples

1	come to the Innu people, not only here, but elsewhere too
2	across Canada, all Innu here and in the Quebec north shore.
3	Every Innu community knows what has been taking place
4	through the governing of the Innu people.
5	Government has changed a
6	lot in our communities. Our children will live quite
7	differently than they used to. Our lives have changed
8	because of the destructive things that Newfoundland and
9	the provincial and federal governments are doing to our
10	land and to the people and to the culture. It is very
11	important and well respected by the Innu people for the
12	wildlife and the culture of the Innu people.
13	And everything that
14	governments do to the land, they never asked permission
15	from the Innu people in our communities. They just go
16	ahead and do it. And the damming of the rivers, destroying
17	our trees. I know the big projects here, one of them the
18	low-level flying on our land. Many times, I think, and
19	other Innu people think, that this is the we use for
20	hunting, not to attract low-level flying or other military
21	activities on our land.
22	The Innu people are not
23	being heard. They are being ignored, and also they're

June 17, 1992

Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples

1	looking at us as animals. They don't respect us. They
2	don't respect our rights and our culture. The first thing
3	the white man says, they go ahead and listen to them instead
4	of listening to the Innu people themselves.
5	The low-level flying used
6	to be not here in Labrador, but it used to be elsewhere,
7	and then we've seen on TV news, the crash, many crashes
8	the military had in the past, and now they come here and
9	do their practice in the interior of Labrador. It didn't
10	take long for the white man to transfer their activities
11	from their countries to here in Labrador, to do their
12	low-level training.
13	Everybody wants to live the
14	way they're supposed to in their countries, and we want
15	to do the same as well. Everybody should be treated
16	equally, not favour other people. All people should be
17	treated equally, the Metis, the Innu and the Inuit. They
18	don't respect the Innu people and the Inuit people here
19	now, in Labrador. They are probably the ones that are
20	treated worst.
21	It is very hard for us, what
22	the government is doing to our land, destroying it and
23	destroying the wildlife. The government doesn't see what

June 17, 1992

Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples

1	this destruction has been doing to the land and to the
2	animals, and they don't experience because it's not there.
3	We're the people that are living on the land and living
4	off the land, so we're the ones that are experiencing the
5	difficulties that we're encountering now.
6	Everybody knows and has
7	experienced what government is doing to not only us, but
8	other Innu people and Indian people across Canada as well.
9	
10	We feel this is just the
11	beginning because in the future, it will get worse. What's
12	going to happen to our grandchildren and our children and
13	the people that will be in the future? How is it going
14	to affect them?
15	We've been struggling over
16	many years, and we'll continue to struggle, and the
17	government just won't stop and say this is the end. They
18	are destroying the Innu people and their way of life.
19	The government can't come to terms to stop what it is doing.
20	They are destroying the culture and the Innu way of life.
21	In our efforts, we have tried everything in our power
22	to stop what is taking place on our land, and also to stop
23	whatever is coming in the future.

June 17, 1992

1

Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples

When the process was going

2	on on the airstrip in Goose Bay with our land, and why
3	we were taking into jail, because we occupied our land.
4	This is our land, and we try to show it by struggling
5	to maintain our land, and it has been many years we've
6	lived on this land, and other people should recognize that
7	we are the rightful owners of this land.
8	We were saddened, and it
9	is very hard for us to be in jail, because of the rightful
10	thing that we did, but not in the eyes of the law. It
11	was hard for us to stay in jail because of our beliefs.
12	We were fighting to maintain our land.
13	We felt it was a good thing
14	we did, and other people didn't realize the hardship we
15	went through by going in jail because of the strong beliefs
16	we have. We had a lot of support. Some were in custody
17	in Goose Bay, and some were elsewhere in the Island, I
18	think it was Stephenville. During the incarceration, we
19	gathered together and we would talk about why are we being
20	incarcerated, why are we in jail. We did the right thing,
21	but not in the eyes of the law. It was very hard for us
22	during the incarceration period. We saw our children
23	through the windows of the jail. What would the government

June 17, 1992

Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples

1	think or other people think if they had to go in jail when
2	they didn't do anything wrong? How would they feel? We
3	knew we did nothing wrong by going in jail, and we didn't
4	know why we were in jail, because we did the rightful thing.
5	We kept strong in there with other people that were in
6	there along with us. By taking us to jail, they are trying
7	to scare us, trying to put a stop to our activities. But
8	that's not going to stop us. We believe in what we believe
9	in, and we'll stick with that. We'll stay with our
10	beliefs. While in jail, we weren't treated right. We
11	were treated unfair, and the meals weren't good.
12	Long ago, why the Innu have
13	been so strong with their beliefs is because in early years,
14	this is how we got our clothing, from the caribou skins.
15	And today, we still follow our old ways. We still use
16	caribou moccasins, caribou hides, and now the government
17	is trying to take that away from us.
18	Years ago, we hunted
19	freely, our grandfathers and fathers hunted freely, and
20	now we can't do that, because the government has put a
21	stop to that. Not only are we not allowed to hunt the
22	caribou, but we're also not allowed to hunt other game
23	as well, and sometimes the Innu people are being harassed

June 17, 1992

Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples

1	by wildlife officers, their guns and other stuff are being
2	confiscated, including caribou. Rifles and shotguns are
3	very important to the Innu, because now, if an Innu person
4	gets caught, that is being taken away. If they take the
5	rifles, how are the Innu going to hunt? They're trying
6	everything in their power to stop us from living our Innu
7	life in the bush.
8	It's very hard now, and
9	we're saddened by the government regulations, what we have
10	to put up with. In the early days, we didn't have to put
11	up with any of this. There was no such things as
12	regulations, government regulations, in the bush, because
13	of hunting and living the way we used to live.
14	The Innu didn't change the
15	way they live, or haven't changed. It is the government
16	that are changing us, that wants us to live the way they
17	live, but we can't do that, we have to maintain our way
18	of living as well. If they hadn't bothered with the people
19	in our communities in the early days, we would still have
20	what we had in the past. And now it's different. We can't
21	live the way we used to live, and a lot has been taken
22	away, a lot has been destroyed through the governments.
23	There have been a lot of

June 17, 1992

Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples

1	changes, a lot of things brought in by the white man, such
2	as alcohol and other stuff that is destroying us very
3	slowly. In the early days, there was no such thing as
4	alcohol, and there was no such thing as houses being burnt
5	down. There was no such thing as the problems that we
6	are encountering now in our communities. You wouldn't
7	have heard or seen what happened in February when we lost
8	six children in the community of Davis Inlet because of
9	alcohol related. There was no such thing as people going
10	in jail, people taking pills and other substances, as are
11	in Canada now, and there was no such thing in the early
12	days when we lived in the past, but now it's changed.
13	Now there are courts, people taking pills and abusing
14	alcohol.
15	And now I am going to tell
16	you about how there is a lot of people who went into the
17	bush in the interior of Labrador, and they can't live a
18	normal way. You hear stories of people can't sleep because
19	of that, a lot of low flying and a lot of other stuff.
20	How can the Innu survive in the interior when there is
21	low-level flying going on from dusk to dawn?
22	There was an elderly woman
23	that died in the bush, and she was terrified of the

June 17, 1992

Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples

1	low-level jets. Every time she would hear jets flying
2	over, she would crouch over or crouch down because of the
3	noise that the jet produces. I've seen and other Innu
4	people have seen the elderly lady, how terrified she was,
5	and how terrified children were. Every time there was
6	a test flight, she would think that there had been shooting
7	of a gun or a blast because of that noise.
8	Now the Innu are afraid
9	what government has done to the Inuit people because
LO	they're afraid of going in the bush because of low level
L1	flying and that everything in changing in our life because
L2	of the government deception.
L3	Government should be
L 4	satisfied now that they have been destroying our way of
L5	life and they're still doing, this would put a stop to
L 6	it, this would realize what damage they are doing to us.
L7	
L8	I want to thank you for
L 9	having me here to make my presentation. Thank you.
20	CO-CHAIR RENE DUSSAULT:
21	I would like to thank you very much for meeting with us
22	and sharing your experience and your concern. It has been
23	of great help to us. Thank you again.

June 17, 1992

Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples

1	COMMISSIONER MARY SILLETT:
2	I share with Mr. Dussault the extension of thanks for
3	a presentation you gave.
4	MR. BEN MICHEL: Mr.
5	Chairman, the next person on the list is Jack Penashue
6	who is an individual I guess speaking on education and
7	possibly on behalf of the youth probably. Jack Penashue.
8	MR. JACK PENASHUE: My
9	name is Jack Penashue and I want to speak on the perspective
10	of the young generation people.
11	I want to talk about the
12	education. This is from the personal experience that I
13	had from coming to school Pennamin MacKenzie, in the high
14	school. I would like to talk about the Innu culture and
15	how the system of the school was handed out to me or put
16	out to me forwarded by the high school or the school itself.
17	From my perspective from
18	learning the school system I was taught not by the Innu
19	culture or by my own culture. I was taught by different
20	cultures. I think I know of lot of cultures of different
21	worlds but none of mine were taught of my own cultures.
22	I was taught about Newfoundland culture, which is not
23	my own culture at all. I can tell you different types

June 17, 1992

Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples

of nets, boats and all that kind of stuff, but, you know, 1 2 I think from young people or young generation today tends 3 to think that the white system or the cycle or they're 4 caught up in a cycle that they think it's a good way of 5 life. You know, this is the way to live. That's how I was brought up. I thought my culture was the enemy, the 6 7 bad way of living. But I think there should be more emphasis or more study put in to the Innu culture into 9 the school or school systems because young people today 10 have a tendency to drop out in high schools. That is when 11 they're in the age of 14, 15, 16. Like when I was growing 12 up there were five classmates that were mine, and none 13 of us graduated because we were taught just the white 14 system -- we were up in the cycle and I like to say that, 15 you know, how would I say this. But if more--it was like 16 a state of confusion from pulls from different cultures, 17 from the white and the Innu. And I think today from the 18 young people and the young generation there is a tendency 19 to find that -- they tend to think that this the good way of life of the white system, the white culture, which it 20 21 isn't. That's when I found out that in high school when I was 14 or 15. Then I learned my culture and then I was 22 23 there and put there and didn't know what to do. If I was

June 17, 1992

23

Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples

1	white, if I was more Innu or more white. This is where
2	the education is lacking.
3	The system is lacking of
4	the Innu culture and, you know, it's really hard to find
5	out when you're 14 or 15 to think that all of a sudden
6	you are an Innu person and you have beliefs, and different
7	beliefs from different people or different, you know, from
8	the whit system, you know, it's really different.
9	Like I have some solutions
10	of this education. I think that there should be more Innu
11	culture taught in high schools and there should be more
12	person to personal thing going with teachers and students
13	which they should bind together, not just from the school
14	or education system, but also outside. They should tend
15	tothe teachers or the people who want to learn the Innu
16	culture should have the advantage to learn the Innu culture
17	and get a credit, get accredited for a credit for that.
18	You know, thewhat I mean the white system in the school,
19	thought I had this all in my mind but It's really hard
20	to speak out from what's in your mind and what's in your
21	heart and, you know, there's a tendency to mix them up
22	sometimes.

StenoTran

Like how do I--for me

June 17, 1992

Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples

1	brought up in the system I have a tendency toand I found
2	out also from young people, tendency to get into trouble
3	a lot. Because this is the reasonthe reason for this
4	for some people is the state of confusion, not knowing
5	where you are. Also I would like to say from the parents'
6	perspective that we weren't they weren't even taught also
7	how tohow the young people, how the system is set up,
8	you know. They weren't even taught, they weren'tthey
9	should have given the lesson of how the white system works
10	and the parents did not even know thewe were just ordered
11	to go to school, which iswe weren't, we did not know
12	what to do. We were just ordered to go to school. We
13	were told that this is the way of living, you know, it's
14	a good way of living but it wasn't, you know. I think
15	there should be more looked into with the education system
16	between the cultures. Thanks.
17	CO-CHAIR RENE DUSSAULT:
18	Thank you, very much. It's always good to hear from young
19	people. Thank you.
20	MR. BEN MICHEL: Did you
21	want to ask him any questions or
22	COMMISSIONER MARY SILLETT:
23	Before I begin I'd like to say I'm really glad to be

June 17, 1992

Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples

1	in Labrador, no one knows but myself how glad I am to be
2	here. And I'm particularly glad to see a high school
3	friend of mine just walk in. Hi, Kenny, it's been a long
4	time. I'm really glad that, you know, you're
5	participating in these hearings. I think public education
6	is a very important element of these hearings and I'm glad
7	to see as many people participate as possible.
8	Jack, I'd like to thank you
9	very much for your excellent presentation. I'd like to
10	ask you a question aboutyou said that the kids drop out
11	of high school at the age of 14 or 15 and I'm just wondering
12	is there a lot of kids who drop out of school and if there
13	are a lot, why do you think they drop out of school?
14	MR. JACK PENASHUE:: When
15	I say, because it's a state of confusion. There is a lack
16	of information of the Innu culture, you know. When do
17	they get to the age of understanding and when they're
18	growing up you have a tendency to you know all this white
19	system then all of a sudden you're given this system of
20	the Innu culture. You have a tendency to have a state
21	of confusion where you stay, where you belong. And all
22	of a sudden the white system essentially to describe as
23	a had thing now I think there should be more a level

June 17, 1992

23

Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples

1	of cultures be taught, not just this is it and you should
2	learn, you know, there should be a tendency to be levels
3	on both cultures, be taught in both cultures.
4	COMMISSIONER MARY SILLETT:
5	Thank you, very much. Do the parentswhat do the
6	parents tell their kids about school? Do they say you
7	should finish school, that you should get a job or that
8	you should learn how to live of the land?
9	MR. JACK PENASHUE: This
10	is where they were told also that their students or children
11	havelike for me I was told from personal experience I
12	was told that you will have a good job, you will have a
13	lot of money, you will live as a white person, it's a good
14	way of life, which it isn't. This is what I was taught.
15	Which I did not know they were also told also like from
16	now growing up they were told that they had to get the
17	children to go to school. They were put in that position
18	also to get those students into school. They were not
19	given anyno choices to get the kids to go to school or
20	to get the kids to go to the country with them.
21	COMMISSIONER MARY SILLETT:
22	Thank you very much.

StenoTran

MR. BEN MICHEL: Okay.

June 17, 1992

Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples

- 1 The next person on the list is--it says here but I've told
- 2 that Francis won't be able to make his presentation at
- 3 this time. So in his place I will put David Nuke. He
- 4 is who will be talking about the justice system I think.
- 5 Mr. David Nuke.
- 6 CO-CHAIR RENE DUSSAULT:
- 7 Good afternoon.
- 8 MR. DAVID NUKE: Good
- 9 afternoon. One of the things I would like to discuss with
- 10 the panel here is the judicial system within the province.
- 11 I'd like to get my things together here. My name is David
- 12 Nuke and I am a Innu.
- 13 First of all, I would like
- 14 to welcome the members of the panel here in our home land.
- 15 One of the things I would like to address is the justice
- 16 system if there is any.
- 17 Justice has not been served
- 18 to this society in terms of incarcerations by being
- 19 transferred to an institution from the community. I would
- 20 like to address this to you, panel, for you to take my
- 21 submission very seriously. Maybe if I can say it on behalf
- 22 of the Innu who have served time in prison, which I am
- 23 one of them.

June 17, 1992

Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples

1	I was sentenced to a prison
2	11 years ago for committing or breaching the old laws.
3	My terms was 11 months. But due to parole I was released
4	on a conditional basis which I was given a parole at an
5	early stage. I did not finish the 11 months. It was about
6	11 years ago. I am not talking about the political
7	incarcerations I've been getting due to protests and so
8	on.
9	Over the years I have
10	observed the justice system and how it treats my people
11	politically, socially and culturally and how it has failed
12	them. Prior to my observation I have always felt the
13	system was there to protect our interests. Anyway here
14	is what I have to say or present to you. For example,
15	just to get to the point where what I want to discuss here
16	with the panel is that would it be possible, say for
17	example, to try to modify the judicial system in a manner
18	that the parties involved benefit from it. You see what
19	I'm trying to say here is that the laws that we breach
20	are not our laws. The institutions we are put into are
21	not our institutions. If all three parties were to work
22	together, the system, the Innu and so on, what ways and
23	means can all three parties benefit from it. For example,

June 17, 1992

Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples

1	when an [Imik?] or a defendant is sentenced to a prison
2	he is then considered as an ex-con once released. But
3	once that ex-con is released, he's a double criminal in
4	my view because you don't know and you don't learn about
5	your culture in prison, in an institution. You hear about
6	crime and you feel the discrimination within the system.
7	That leaves an ex-con as a double criminal once released.
8	I'm here talking about the summary conviction offences,
9	not an indictable offence, such as murder, rape, arson
L 0	or whatever. I am talking about the summary convictions
L1	such as B&E or disturbance or whatever they may classify
L2	as a summary conviction. Would it be possible, would it
L3	beneficial for the a defendant to be sentenced to
L 4	[nutrame?] to a country where he or she knows best. To
L 5	practise that kind of a system would alleviate crime rate,
L 6	the alcohol abuse, in my view. If the eldersif the
L7	committee were to work together to try to implement that
L8	kind of a system.
L 9	To carry on with my
20	presentation I will give you an example. If I was to be
21	incarcerated today serving a time of one year, 12 months,
22	the Department of Justice would spend \$126 a day in the
23	institution to feed me, to clothe me an whatever they may

June 17, 1992

Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples

1	provide in the institution. Multiply that 126 times 365
2	days a year comes up to \$45,990. What better ways and
3	means can Canada or can the system try to implement a better
4	program for the aboriginal nation of this country. Canada
5	is now talking about self-government to the aboriginal
6	groups across the country. Canada now recruits aboriginal
7	judges, aboriginal police officers, aboriginal court
8	workers, and aboriginal lawyers. What about the system?
9	The system has failed the Innu, in my view, for so many
10	years. With the implementation of the Innu guidelines
11	and working with the Innu in trying to better the community
12	or better the individual. It will be better in my view
13	not to aculturate the inmate in your culture and brainwash
14	the inmate into your system. It's a failure to your system
15	and just a failure to us.
16	The kind of system I would
17	like to see be in place for the Innu is the kind of system
18	I mentioned. The amount of money you spend to incarcerate
19	somebody is a waste of money in my view. It pays a judge.
20	It pays the police. It pays the guards. It pays the
21	lawyer. It pays the prosecutor. Is that the kind of games
22	you play economically with the Innu? Is that your source
23	of economic development?

June 17, 1992

20

21

22

23

Royal Commission on

83

Aboriginal Peoples And I would like to thank 1 2 you. 3 CO-CHAIR RENE DUSSAULT: 4 Are you finished? 5 MR. DAVID NUKE: Yes. CO-CHAIR RENE DUSSAULT: 6 7 Okay. Good. Thank you very much for your presentation. 8 I think it is very important that we benefit from the 9 experience and thinking of people like you. The justice system has been studied tremendously in this country by 10 11 many commissions of inquiries. In Manitoba, in Nova Scotia. The Law Reform Commission of Canada has also 12 13 studied the justice system. What we have to address is 14 15 the question of the necessity for a different system. A system that would--when we speak about sentencing, it's 16 17 the easiest part, I think, for one that could be changed 18 and adapted to a situation. But I understood from what you said at the beginning that the major -- you didn't see 19

StenoTran

a distinction for the major crimes. You were talking about

summary offences, not indictable offences, and in this

area certainly that the way we could come back or design

a system that would be more adaptive and more productive

1 and that would avoid the alienation that occurs when

June 17, 1992

Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples

2	somebody goes into a jail would certainly be of great help
3	for many, many aboriginal people in this country.
4	So just what I want to say
5	is that justice is a major concern for this Comission.
6	We are going to have an opportunity to see how it goes
7	with self-government. Not only the justice system but
8	it's part of a larger picture and, again, if you have
9	additional thoughts or ideas to give us we will be back
10	in Labrador or you could always get in touch with us.
11	We have an 800 line in Ottawa and we feel that it is very
12	important that we hear as much as possible on the justice
13	system and not only its failure but how it could be designed
14	to avoid the failures of the past. Thank you.
15	MR. DAVID NUKE: When you
16	said the studies are being done under the justice system
17	for the aboriginal nations all I have to say is that I
18	have a son who's 18 months old. I'm 32 years old. I hope
19	my son won't be sitting here at the age of 32 talking about
20	the same thing I'm talking about.
21	And when you said you'll
22	be back for another hearing I was hoping you would I won't
23	be back because things will be done from now on.

June 17, 1992

Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples

1	CO-CHAIR RENE DUSSAULT:
2	Well, we hope that not long will be soon and when I mentioned
3	that we would come back it's in terms of the dialogue we
4	have entered into and this particular process. But
5	obviously action is need in the justice area and so thank
6	you.
7	COMMISSIONER MARY SILLETT:
8	I'd like to thank you as well. Just one question. When
9	we went to St. John's and visited the Inuit inmate in Her
10	Majesty's Penitentiary they said that there's absolutely
11	no opportunity to have any cultural activities. There's
12	no one who speaks Inuktitut in that facility.
13	And I'm wondering is there
14	a difference with the Labrador Correctional facility do
15	you know?
16	MR. DAVID NUKE: Well,
17	there are family members in this building and I should
18	not probably mention names but there was an Innu who worked
19	with the system. And I really supported the Innu who are
20	working for the system but then he had to resign for
21	cultural reasons. And I believe that the system did not
22	fit him in in such a way that he felt he would be fitted
23	in. I'm not saying that the more we recruit RCMP, the

June 17, 1992

23

Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples

1	more we recruit judges, the more we recruit social workers,
2	the more we recruit workers will not solve the problem.
3	The problem needs to be
4	changed. The justice system needs to be modified and
5	changed for the benefit of all parties.
6	MR. BEN MICHEL: I don't
7	know whether that was finished or there were questions,
8	but anyway I will say that the next person on the list
9	is Jean-Pierre Ashini and he's an individual in the
10	community of Sheshatshiu. I'm not sure what he's going
11	to be talking about.
12	CO-CHAIR RENE DUSSAULT:
13	Good afternoon.
14	MR. JEAN-PIERRE ASHINI:
15	My name is Jean Ashini. I'm here to inform the Royal
16	Commission regarding the low level flying that takes place
17	in [Tsena?] or Labrador, so-called Labrador.
18	I am a hunter and I was
19	picked for the Innu hunters as well. That I spent about
20	16 years in the country with my family. And since those
21	low-level flights have taken place in the past ten years
22	that they have real impact with the noise that they make

StenoTran

and we use and occupy the land every year - every spring

June 17, 1992

Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples

1	and fall.
2	Since those flights have
3	taken place that they really have startle effects on
4	children as well. They fly as low as 15 to 20 feet about
5	the ground and the noise that they make is really
6	devastating for the children, the old people and I guess
7	they have an impact on the animals as well because we cannot
8	live normally and we cannot hunt because those jets that
9	they make, you know, it's unbearable. And they even fly
10	at night around 12 o'clock at night and my children are
11	really scared. Sometimes they cannot sleep because of
12	those jets, and in the past ten years that the animals
13	are thin, you know, they used to be fat, but I'm not saying
14	that I'm blaming low-level flying but certainly there is
15	something wrong with the animals. Patridges are declining
16	and caribou. They move a lot.
17	It's kind of hard to
18	describe what is happening in the country. What I want
19	to inform the Royal Commission is that I wish that low-level
20	flying would be stopped and we don't want our land to be
21	destroyed because of money that the business people have
22	brought into Goose Bay.
23	We want to live on our land.

We want to govern ourselves and our land and I do spend

June 17, 1992

1

20

21

22

Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples

2	a lot of time in the country and we like the land. We
3	like to spend a lot of time in the country and I guess
4	that's how that whole community is thinking about - the
5	land that we're occupying. And I guess that's all I have
6	to say. Thank you.
7	CO-CHAIR RENE DUSSAULT:
8	Thank you very much for your presentation on a subject
9	that is obviously of great importance for people of this
10	community. When you mentioned that you've noticed
11	throughout the years the changes some changes occurring
12	and you be careful in saying, well, I don't know exactly
13	where it comes from but certainly it wasn't like this
14	previously.
15	In fact what was thewhat
16	has been the effect on your going to the country? You're
17	saying that you abstainyou don't go as often as you would
18	like to. Is it that youbecause of thethat the animals
19	are moving? Because they're different or does it prevent

MR. JEAN-PIERRE ASHINI:

you would like to do? Could you just expand?

23 Well it's kind of hard to describe, you know. When you

StenoTran

you to--and to what extent does it prevent you to do what

June 17, 1992

Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples

1	see those jets fly low, 15 feet above the ground, with
2	nine jets, you know, 17 times within one hour it's
3	unbearable and I guess it's kind of hard for us to live
4	with the noise that they make, you know, and I guess about
5	two years ago, I've seen dead fish float ashore. You know,
6	I don't know what's wrong with them. There's no marks
7	on them. And that's the kind of thing thatlike I don't
8	know how to blame, you knowit's kind of hard for us to
9	leave the country. I can't think of
10	CO-CHAIR RENE DUSSAULT:
11	Thank you very much.
12	MR. JEAN-PIERRE ASHINI:
13	Thank you.
14	MR. BEN MICHEL: The next
15	person on the list and the last person before coffee break,
16	I guess, is Miss Mary Ann Michel.
17	MRS. MARY ANN MICHEL
18	[INTERPRETER: One of the things I want to say for the past
19	is how the government is treating us. How come the
20	government is sending the white man?
21	Ever since when I was
22	young, Ben Michel was only four years old, when the white
23	government was after us. That he was always after our

June 17, 1992

Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples

1	land. God gave the government to live separately. God
2	gave us land individually. Differently. He said how come
3	the government is preventing us to live because no native
4	people are given land to live separatelythat we were
5	each given us land separately.
6	He said we all know where
7	God is coming from. And he said how come? He said how
8	come we are being treated differently? He said to bring
9	the non-native people, he said as far as I can remember
10	when I was young, I wasn't old, and ever since he said
11	how many more years can I sit? How many more times can
12	I sit? She said as well as I know that as long as I sit
13	here I'm going to die. She said I will never get back
14	the things that I will ask for. She said I will never
15	get the land back.
16	He said you are giving us
17	differently and he said you're treating us poorly. He
18	said how come? He said this is where the misery comeshe
19	said when I gave up my kids to be taughtto teach, to
20	live healthy, to live normally he says as far as I know
21	now my kids are all poor. He said as far as I know that
22	my kids live normally. It's now my grandkids want to live
23	poorly.

June 17, 1992

Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples

Т	He said how about my other
2	generation. He said what's going to happen to them? He
3	said these are the things that I see - misery. He said
4	I have thoughthe said if I have never thought or think
5	this way my kids would never live this way nor my grandkids.
6	She said we are poor. We
7	are sad. She said look at these thingslook at this.
8	This is how I walk from City of Quebec. We travel by canoe,
9	settees. Suddenly he said we're using snowshoes. He said
LO	we never used the airplanes before. That's when the
L1	government never fight with our land. She said the
L2	snowshoes is more like our land. This is the things how
L3	we use, we walk where we find food. Where we find food,
L 4	she said, we kill ten caribou, she said. She said these,
L 5	we never used the money. We shared the food individual
L 6	to other Innu and also the man, sharing the things with
L 7	other Innu people. She said when you buy things, she said
L 8	people have \$40 a month, she said, so you can only buy
L 9	a small amount of groceries, she said, when there's a high
20	cost of living. She said when you buy sugar and tea, she
21	said, how can anyone survive even though the Innu were
22	sharing with one another. How can we survive on \$40 a
2.3	month? She said, not only that about the clothing. If

June 17, 1992

Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples

he or she doesn't go to the clothing store when clothes 1 2 are very expensive, she said, for sure in this community 3 we are very poor. Where there's a high cost of health, 4 crisis, she said when we're sick, we were always given 5 drugs. She said when we're in the country, we were never sick. There was no such thing as illness. It is since 6 we live in the communities that we heard everybody has a different kind of illness. She said how long and how 9 many years can I sit here to bring the white government, 10 to bring another non-native people here, to stand outside 11 the wood, the bows to make for the tent. My drugs, she 12 said, for the things that I see when I'm in the country. She said just take a look. She said when I go outside 13 14 I see little kids who are poor. She said when I wake at 15 night I look through the window and I see these little 16 kids wandering around in the dark. She said then right 17 away, I see myself how we look like in our land. The kids 18 go to school. Kids sleep. She said this is how I see 19 my grandkids. She says I want you -- this is all I want to say and I thank you very much for coming here and I'm 20 21 very glad you're here and it's an opportunity for me to 22 say that the non-native government are still in our land. What will God do to the white government? Will God punish 23

June 17, 1992

Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples

- 1 these non-native people? She said we all know that God
- 2 is here. She said this is how I feel. This is how I feel
- 3 that God will punish me and it's up to me. She said, I
- 4 would be afraid if God would control over the land and
- 5 I would be afraid. She said this is all I want to say.
- 6 I'm sure there's other people who want to talk.
- 7 CO-CHAIR RENE DUSSAULT:
- 8 Thank you very much for a speech that certainly is coming
- 9 from the heart and has been very effective. Merci, thank
- 10 you.
- 11 MR. BEN MICHEL: The next
- 12 person on the agenda to speak is our president of the Innu
- 13 nation, Peter Penashue.
- 14 MR. PETER PENASHUE, INNU
- 15 NATION: First of all, I'd like to thank the commissioners
- 16 for being here to listen to our people. At times it is
- 17 very hard for our people to speak from the heart, especially
- 18 with cameras rolling, strangers coming in to talk to us,
- 19 different people coming to hear what we have to say. And
- 20 this is certainly not the first time that we've done it.
- 21 We've spoken to many different groups here and abroad
- 22 about our situation here. And I quess the most that has
- 23 come out of the process at this point is that more and

June 17, 1992

23

Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples

1	more people are understanding who the Innu are and are
2	history and our situations.
3	As I'm sure the people who
4	have spoken today have told you, since Canada has come
5	to us, our lives have been very difficult and sometimes
6	we live in a world of chaos. It's very important that
7	I think the commissioners understand that we didn't come
8	to Canada. Canada came to us. Out of that came Canada
9	has created dependency from our people. They have become
10	dependent on government social assistance, have become
11	dependent on social welfare, social services, band
12	councils and many other agencies that have been provided
13	for the community. We have lost all control of our own
14	lives and I think Davis Inlet have really pointed out
15	clearly, we've lost control of our own children and our
16	own people and our own future. We don't decide. Someone
17	else decides in Ottawa or in St. John's how we're going
18	to live.
19	For us, I think my
20	interpretation of the history is that when the fur traders
21	came in, it was an understanding that we had with the fur
22	traders. We traded with them. We had access to supplies

StenoTran

that we never had before. We became dependent on them.

June 17, 1992

Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples

1	We had access to guns, supplies like tea, sugar, which
2	we became adapted to. But because our people were nomadic
3	and moved around in the country from one place to another,
4	the Europeans didn't have that much influence over our
5	people because the trading posts were in one place and
6	our people would trade there.
7	The real change for us
8	really happened, I guess, around the forties when the base
9	started getting built here and then later on we had our
10	lands and our territory brought into the Canadian
11	Confederation without our consent. First, in 1927, the
12	Inuit territory was split in two. Part of it became Quebec,
13	the other one became the colony of Newfoundland, which
14	is what's known as Labrador. In 1949, as I've mentioned,
15	we were brought into Canada and when we were brought into
16	Canada in 1949, not only that our lands and the rest of
17	our property were brought in, but we were brought in as
18	Newfoundlanders. And that policy still remains today in
1.9	the Newfoundland government. In the eyes of the
20	Newfoundland government, we are considered citizens of
21	Newfoundland.
22	We are in the process of
23	completing the research from '49 and onwards, which looks

June 17, 1992

Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples

1	at the relationship between the province and the federal
2	government and how they dealt with the Innu of Labrador.
3	I just got off the phone with our researcher and we just
4	found another document which no one seems to have, and
5	that is a letter between, I think it was Lester Pearson
6	and Joey Smallwood. And clearly, in the letter from Joey
7	Smallwood, he states that they're not willing to push the
8	jurisdiction to be a federal jurisdiction under the
9	aboriginal peoples but that the province will take the
10	responsibilities and jurisdiction, but that they expected
11	the Canadian government to supplement or put forward
12	funding to provide these programs.
13	A lot of these programs
14	were, what they call Canada and Newfoundland Native
15	Agreement, still exist today. In our opinion, the federal
16	government at that time did not take its responsibilities
17	and still has not fulfilled its responsibilities up to
18	this day. We feel that we should be getting funding
19	programming from the federal government and not be
20	funnelled through the province as it is today. For those
21	who have seen the agreement, it is pretty clear that the
22	federal government does not take that responsibility but
23	it sees itself as supplementing the funding for the

June 17, 1992

23

Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples

agreement does not work. The province is involved they give the chiefs and the councils a hard time in way they administer these agreements. So we see that time that the federal government takes its responsibilities and that we have direct negotiations the federal government on the issues of funding arrangements and the other programs. We feel that Canadian government has not fulfilled its constituti responsibilities and jurisdictions. We are told that in I Inlet one more study will be done into the housing water and sewer situation. We have said to the prov and Newfoundland that there is enough studies done answer those questions, but it has come forward from Crosbie and Tom Siddon who told us when we met then February that no funding will be coming forward unl that study is done. So we feel even though we recog that the water and sewer situation and housing condit	1	province to administer what they call, I guess, aboriginal
they give the chiefs and the councils a hard time in way they administer these agreements. So we see that time that the federal government takes its responsibilities and that we have direct negotiations the federal government on the issues of funding arrangements and the other programs. We feel that Canadian government has not fulfilled its constitutive responsibilities and jurisdictions. We are told that in I Inlet one more study will be done into the housing water and sewer situation. We have said to the provand Newfoundland that there is enough studies done answer those questions, but it has come forward from Crosbie and Tom Siddon who told us when we met them February that no funding will be coming forward unlied that study is done. So we feel even though we recognized that the water and sewer situation and housing condition in Davis Inlet should be a priority without any funding in Davis Inlet should be a priority without any funding that study is not several to the provacy of the study is done and sewer situation and housing conditions.	2	programs. And all the chiefs today will tell you that that
time that the federal government takes its responsibilities and that we have direct negotiations the federal government on the issues of funding arrangements and the other programs. We feel that Canadian government has not fulfilled its constitute responsibilities and jurisdictions. We are told that in I Inlet one more study will be done into the housing water and sewer situation. We have said to the prov and Newfoundland that there is enough studies done answer those questions, but it has come forward from Crosbie and Tom Siddon who told us when we met then February that no funding will be coming forward und that study is done. So we feel even though we recog that the water and sewer situation and housing condit in Davis Inlet should be a priority without any fur	3	agreement does not work. The province is involved and
time that the federal government takes its responsibilities and that we have direct negotiations the federal government on the issues of funding arrangements and the other programs. We feel that Canadian government has not fulfilled its constituti responsibilities and jurisdictions. We are told that in I Inlet one more study will be done into the housing water and sewer situation. We have said to the prov and Newfoundland that there is enough studies done answer those questions, but it has come forward from Crosbie and Tom Siddon who told us when we met then February that no funding will be coming forward unl that study is done. So we feel even though we recog that the water and sewer situation and housing condit in Davis Inlet should be a priority without any fur	4	they give the chiefs and the councils a hard time in the
responsibilities and that we have direct negotiations the federal government on the issues of funding arrangements and the other programs. We feel that Canadian government has not fulfilled its constitution responsibilities and jurisdictions. We are told that in I Inlet one more study will be done into the housing water and sewer situation. We have said to the provant and Newfoundland that there is enough studies done answer those questions, but it has come forward from Crosbie and Tom Siddon who told us when we met then February that no funding will be coming forward und that study is done. So we feel even though we recognized that the water and sewer situation and housing condition in Davis Inlet should be a priority without any funding	5	way they administer these agreements. So we see that it's
the federal government on the issues of funding arrangements and the other programs. We feel that Canadian government has not fulfilled its constitution responsibilities and jurisdictions. We are told that in I Inlet one more study will be done into the housing water and sewer situation. We have said to the prov and Newfoundland that there is enough studies done answer those questions, but it has come forward from Crosbie and Tom Siddon who told us when we met then February that no funding will be coming forward unl that study is done. So we feel even though we recog that the water and sewer situation and housing condit in Davis Inlet should be a priority without any fur	6	time that the federal government takes its
9 arrangements and the other programs. We feel that 10 Canadian government has not fulfilled its constitution 11 responsibilities and jurisdictions. 12 We are told that in I 13 Inlet one more study will be done into the housing 14 water and sewer situation. We have said to the provided and Newfoundland that there is enough studies done 15 and Newfoundland that there is enough studies done 16 answer those questions, but it has come forward from 17 Crosbie and Tom Siddon who told us when we met them 18 February that no funding will be coming forward und 19 that study is done. So we feel even though we recognized that the water and sewer situation and housing condition in Davis Inlet should be a priority without any furnished.	7	responsibilities and that we have direct negotiations with
Canadian government has not fulfilled its constitutions. We are told that in I Inlet one more study will be done into the housing water and sewer situation. We have said to the provant and Newfoundland that there is enough studies done answer those questions, but it has come forward from Crosbie and Tom Siddon who told us when we met them February that no funding will be coming forward unlike that study is done. So we feel even though we recognized that the water and sewer situation and housing condition in Davis Inlet should be a priority without any funding that study is doned appriority without any funding that study is should be a priority without any funding that study is should be a priority without any fundamental studies.	8	the federal government on the issues of funding
The responsibilities and jurisdictions. We are told that in It is a strong that the more study will be done into the housing water and sewer situation. We have said to the provent and Newfoundland that there is enough studies done answer those questions, but it has come forward from Crosbie and Tom Siddon who told us when we met them February that no funding will be coming forward under that study is done. So we feel even though we recognize that the water and sewer situation and housing condition in Davis Inlet should be a priority without any further told that it is provided that the water and sewer situation and housing conditions.	9	arrangements and the other programs. We feel that the
We are told that in I Inlet one more study will be done into the housing water and sewer situation. We have said to the prov and Newfoundland that there is enough studies done answer those questions, but it has come forward from Crosbie and Tom Siddon who told us when we met then February that no funding will be coming forward unl that study is done. So we feel even though we recog that the water and sewer situation and housing condit in Davis Inlet should be a priority without any fur	10	Canadian government has not fulfilled its constitutional
Inlet one more study will be done into the housing water and sewer situation. We have said to the prov and Newfoundland that there is enough studies done answer those questions, but it has come forward from Crosbie and Tom Siddon who told us when we met then February that no funding will be coming forward unl that study is done. So we feel even though we recog that the water and sewer situation and housing condit in Davis Inlet should be a priority without any fur	11	responsibilities and jurisdictions.
water and sewer situation. We have said to the provact and Newfoundland that there is enough studies done answer those questions, but it has come forward from Crosbie and Tom Siddon who told us when we met them February that no funding will be coming forward unlike that study is done. So we feel even though we recognize that the water and sewer situation and housing condition in Davis Inlet should be a priority without any fur	12	We are told that in Davis
and Newfoundland that there is enough studies done answer those questions, but it has come forward from Crosbie and Tom Siddon who told us when we met them February that no funding will be coming forward unl that study is done. So we feel even though we recog that the water and sewer situation and housing condit in Davis Inlet should be a priority without any fur	13	Inlet one more study will be done into the housing and
answer those questions, but it has come forward from Crosbie and Tom Siddon who told us when we met them February that no funding will be coming forward unl that study is done. So we feel even though we recog that the water and sewer situation and housing condit in Davis Inlet should be a priority without any fur	14	water and sewer situation. We have said to the province
Crosbie and Tom Siddon who told us when we met them 18 February that no funding will be coming forward unl 19 that study is done. So we feel even though we recog 20 that the water and sewer situation and housing condit 21 in Davis Inlet should be a priority without any fun	15	and Newfoundland that there is enough studies done to
February that no funding will be coming forward unless that study is done. So we feel even though we recognize that the water and sewer situation and housing condition in Davis Inlet should be a priority without any fundamental statement.	16	answer those questions, but it has come forward from John
that study is done. So we feel even though we recognize that the water and sewer situation and housing condition in Davis Inlet should be a priority without any furnity.	17	Crosbie and Tom Siddon who told us when we met them in
that the water and sewer situation and housing condit in Davis Inlet should be a priority without any fur	18	February that no funding will be coming forward unless
21 in Davis Inlet should be a priority without any fur		
	19	that study is done. So we feel even though we recognize
22 studies, which causes delay in the implementation of		that study is done. So we feel even though we recognize that the water and sewer situation and housing conditions
	20	

StenoTran

program. But because we were told without this study that

June 17, 1992

Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples

funding for the water and sewer and housing would not be 1 2 coming through, we ended up agreeing to the process. It 3 is these types of situations that I think we find ourselves 4 in. And I guess my point is that, if I can refer back 5 to the situation that I mentioned, we didn't come to Canada, Canada came to us; therefore, I think a new relationship 6 7 has to come forward so that we too can clearly state our own policies on how we're going to deal with the issues. 9 It is my belief that as long as other people control and 10 administer our lives, we will never take the type of 11 responsibility that our people should take. I am aware 12 that it has been 30 years or so since our lives have been 13 controlled by the province and the federal government and 14 that the programming and the policies that come along with 15 those programs have made it very difficult for our lives, 1.6 in the sense that we don't decide the best way to spend 17 those funds. And as I've referred earlier, we've become 18 very dependent on those. So my message, I quess, is that we have to control those funds. We have to control those 19 20 programs and we have to administer them the way we see 21 them so that they best benefit our people. I realize that 22 it's going to be very difficult in the beginning, but this 23 is a process that we have to enter and we have to take

June 17, 1992

Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples

1	the good and the bad that comes along with that. But as
2	long as someone else is calling the shots, I think you
2	Tong as someone else is calling the shots, I think you
3	will continue to find the aboriginal people in the
4	situation as you find them today, in chaos.
5	If I can refer to, as you
6	are well aware, we are under negotiations with federal
7	and provincial governments over land issues and we are
8	under the process of what is called framework negotiations.
9	And essentially what this is is to set out the agenda
10	and the timeframes and the process for the actual
11	substantive negotiations. We've been in the process for
12	a year now and it's been difficult. It hasn't been easy
13	because there are certain things that the governments don't
14	want to talk about. And we always from the beginning have
15	been saying to them that we have to have interim protection.
16	We have to stop the developments that are taking place
17	or further developments until such time as the land issue
18	is settled because you cannot negotiate on one hand and
19	continue to develop the resources on the other, because
20	we find ourselves at the end that there is nothing left
21	for us.
22	So the land claims policy
23	has to be seriously looked at by this commission and that

June 17, 1992

Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples

1	recommendations for a new way of doing things should be
2	put forward. And I am sure there will be other people
3	across the country who will speak more eloquently on this
4	issue.
5	One of the issues that we
6	had problems on the framework is airspace. Somehow the
7	province thinks that we can talk about land and not talk
8	about airspace. Our position has been that if it our land,
9	then it must be our space as well. The federal position
10	is that the land may belong to you, but the air belongs
11	to the federal government for sovereignty issues. And
12	we do not agree with this because we know that the land
13	belongs to the Innu and the air space must also belong
14	to the Innu.
15	If I can speak about the
16	issue of low level flying, which is also a very big issue
17	for the Innu. I myself sometimes find myself in a strange
18	position because there are people in this room who do not
19	agree with the land claims process and there are those
20	who aren't sure what to think. The way I look at it, if
21	we do not enter into this process, then Canada will take
22	the resources and all other rights as well and that has
23	been my argument to my people. We have to take the existing

June 17, 1992

Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples

1	process and hope that with the new constitutional process
2	that's taking place and the new Royal Commission that
3	hopefully a new process will come forward as such the one
4	that we see emerging now with the third order of government.
5	
6	I support the third order
7	of government because I think, as I've stated earlier,
8	we have to be the ones that decide on how we're going to
9	administer our lives and how we're going to administer
10	every program that affects our lives, the Innu themselves.
11	And I'm not talking about municipalities. I'm talking
12	about an absolute government with jurisdiction within
13	clear, defined territories. And that ranges from education
14	to economic development, everything that will affect our
15	lives.
16	In February, maybe I should
17	tell a personal story. Perhaps that will explain how I
18	see things. I remember as a child, this would have been,
19	I guess the sixties. And let's take my family, for
20	example. My father and my mother are the ones that made
21	the transition from the country into the community setting.
22	Both of them had to adopt to your way of life, to the
23	qallunaaqs' way of doing things, working, finding jobs,

June 17, 1992

Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples

1	attending to house, making sure all the things are done
2	to keep a house.
3	My generation, and I'm 28
4	years old, my generation is the ones that were born into
5	the community and saw the chaos that was happening in our
6	lives. I remember the fighting. I remember the blood.
7	I remember the noise and I remember how frightened I was.
8	I remember all these things very clearly. And I've always
9	said that I will never be like my dad or mom and all the
L 0	people that drink. But as it turned out, a lot of this
L1	chaos that was happening around me was much more powerful
L2	than I ever thought as a child. It was so powerful that
L3	I became what I didn't want to be, in every sense of the
L 4	word. I became an alcoholic. I fought my wife. I fought
L5	my children. And everything around me was becoming
L 6	chaotic. And I think for most of us, for a lot of us in
L7	this community, we experienced the same thing.
L 8	I will never experience or
L 9	be able to experience what my father experienced and my
20	mother as they made that transition from being independent
21	to being totally dependent on government. I will never
22	be able to experience that. The only thing that I can
23	experience and I can relate to is the chaos that came out

June 17, 1992

23

Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples

1	of that because I grew up with it as a child. And people
2	say to us why do these Indians drink so much? Why do they
3	beat up their wives? Why is there so much sexual abuse?
4	Why is there so much chaos? I believe it all stems from
5	that. You take away people's integrity and dignity, and
6	you are left with nothing.
7	And I'll tell you how
8	powerful your system or the system of the Canadian
9	government has become. All of us are required by law to
10	come to this school and learn about the qallunaaqs'
11	culture, all of us. I remember my parents saying "You
12	have to go to school or otherwise I'm going to lose my
13	family allowance." And that's because the priest and the
14	people around that time were all saying that. "If you
15	don't send your kids to school, you'll lose your family
16	allowance." And that took a hold on the people themselves
17	It was very powerful. The white men became very powerful
18	in our lives. And I guess, for me, in order to change
19	this 30 years of chaos, we have to do it ourselves. We
20	can't expect the federal government or the provincial
21	government to offer us policies and programs which they
22	develop in Ottawa or St. John's to work for us. We know

StenoTran

what a mess, a terrible job they've done, because we've

June 17, 1992

Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples

1	experienced it. Now we're saying "Give us the resources
2	and we'll develop it on our own." It would be very nice
3	if Canada said "This is clearly your land. Use it as you
4	wish and you can get the resources from it." That would
5	be ideal. But I know Canada will not and Newfoundland
6	will not give up that right that easy. So we have to expect
7	the resources to come from the Canadian government. And
8	that's why I've been saying the federal government has
9	to take its responsibilities seriously to the aboriginal
10	people of Labrador.
11	One of the things that
12	really wanted to accomplish when I got elected as President
13	of the Innu Nation was that I wanted to accomplish the
14	freedom to hunt and trap and live off the land as our people
15	have always done. And I think we're close. Certainly the
16	Sparrow case has helped, and I was very glad to hear last
17	year and this year, which I experienced myself, because
18	I was out in the country with my grandfather and the rest
19	of the people that were there. It was very nice and it
20	felt really good to me to see that people can shoot ducks
21	and geese without any fear of charges. That's a little
22	step, but we have a long way to go. I spoke again this
23	morning to the provincial government because we had put

June 17, 1992

Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples

1	forward and said all the other animals that we hunt, the
2	same right has to apply. And it's very difficult because
3	their position is that we're citizens of Newfoundland,
4	so if we do it for the Innu, then what does it mean for
5	the regular people in Goose Bay? How are we going to
6	justify it? Up to this point, to this day, they will be
7	putting forward their proposals next month on those issues,
8	so up to today when I spoke to them this morning, the issues
9	of hunting caribou, partridge and the other animals is
10	still illegal for us. And that's something that I find
11	very cruel, because what makes us Innu is not because of
12	blood. What makes us Innu is our nationality, our
13	language, our customs, our culture, our history. So we
14	have to be able to practice our culture. That's what makes
15	us different. It's not blood.
16	Well, I think I've covered
17	all the items that I wanted to cover. There are many,
18	many issues that I've missed, but hopefully, all the other
19	people who have spoken and will be speaking later on will
20	cover the other issues. I'd like to thank you very much
21	for listening to my speech and I will also leave the written
22	paper, submission.
23	CO-CHAIR RENE DUSSAULT:

June 17, 1992

Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples

1	Thank you very much. I would like to thank you for
2	presenting us with a very articulate brief, both oral and
3	written. I think it will be very useful to us. I think
4	the thrust of your speech turns around control of unknown
5	destiny and mastering the future. And it brings us to
6	the issue of self-government and I know that it's not
7	necessarily easy to design practically how it would work,
8	but I would like, maybe, to ask you, what do you think
9	self-government could be for the Innu of Labrador, how,
10	within the Canadian framework, how could it be designed?
11	Is there a particular model you see or could you expand
12	on the way it could be done?
13	MR. PETER PENASHUE: I was
14	very glad that the Assembly of First Nations has managed
15	to have the inherent right to self-government accepted
16	through the multilateral discussions as an item with no
17	definitions attached to it. Now we don't know what the
18	later process will bring. For self-government, I think
19	every nation, aboriginal nation, will treat that
20	differently. I wouldn't want what Mohawks get and vice
21	versa. I'm sure they wouldn't want what we get because
22	we certainly are a different people with different needs,

June 17, 1992

Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples

1	different history. And I think it's also important to
2	note that every aboriginal group is at a different stage
3	of what I would call, I guess, assimilation. So in general
4	the needs and their preference in how they see
5	self-government is different. And that is why I think
6	it's important that it doesn't get defined but it be left
7	up to those nations and governments to work out an agreement
8	and to negotiate those details amongst themselves,
9	because, as I said, we may not want what they have on the
10	other side of the country because they may have different
11	needs. But clearly, I think I cannot say at this point
12	what self-government will look like. I have a vision of
13	what it would look like, but I don't know if that's what
14	the end product would be because clearly, there will be
15	a process of negotiation.
16	CO-CHAIR RENE DUSSAULT:
17	I just want to complete in mentioning that yesterday, for
18	example, one of the presenters was advocating the idea
19	of having a separate territory for Labrador. There are
20	many ideas around. We know that there are Inuit
21	communities. There are Metis communities on the southern
22	coast. We have the two Innu communities, and we have
23	non-aboriginal people living in Labrador, so I only hope

June 17, 1992

Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples

1	that in the coming months, additional thinking will be
2	given to the practicalities of having self-government
3	working, whether it be at the community level, at the more
4	regional level, and I understand that there will be a
5	variety of ways of doing it, according to the needs for
6	each region of the country, but it would certainly be useful
7	if you could think about it. And we're going to come back
8	to Labrador and we would like to exchange further on the
9	practicality of it and get down from the idea. Because
10	once the Constitution, and we hope the Constitutional
11	reform will be passed. If it is passed, it will put a
12	lot of pressure for doing things. And that's one of the
13	reasons why this commission was created, it's to have a
14	hard look with aboriginal peoples on the ways of achieving
15	it and doing it. Thank you very much.
16	COMMISSIONER VIOLA
17	ROBINSON: I really don't have any questions for you.
18	I think you've made yourself very clear with the work that
19	is going on here and your different positions and where
20	you are even today. So I don't have any questions. I
21	think if we get a copy of your presentation and most of
22	them here, but I think you've been very clear on your
23	points. So I thank you.

June 17, 1992

Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples

L	MR. PETER PENASHUE: We've
2	met with Ovide Mercredi and I and others met with Max
3	Yelderman, the Chairman of the Human Rights Commission,
4	Elderman? Yalden, whoever. And we had put forward a
5	complaint and the complaint is that there's been
6	discrimination against the Innu for not accessing the same
7	programs as other aboriginal groups. And I would hope
8	that this Commission would be able to take a position on
9	that issue and possibly release an interim report
10	challenging the federal government to take its
11	responsibilities seriously. So I hope that will be the
12	case.
13	The other thing that I
14	forgot to mention and that is the federal and provincial
15	and Inuit negotiations that have taken place have been
16	suspended, and they have been suspended on the grounds
17	that the federal and provincial governments have not been
18	able to come up with an agreement on the issue of
19	compensation for the Innu. They have not made this public.
20	I don't know if they had planned to, but they tell us they
21	are hoping that an agreement between them will soon be
22	made. And I think it's important that the Commission is
23	aware that for many years when we fought against low-level

June 17, 1992

Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples

- 1 flying, fought against development, we were always told
- 2 that the Inuit don't want to negotiate. The Inuit just
- 3 want to disrupt development. Now we find ourselves that
- 4 the federal and provincial government doesn't want to
- 5 negotiate. They have suspended the negotiations because
- 6 they cannot get an agreement among themselves. I wanted
- 7 to point that out so it was clear that we are still willing
- 8 to negotiate, but they have to get their act together.
- 9 Thank you very much.
- 10 CO-CHAIR RENE DUSSAULT:
- 11 Thank you.
- 12 MR. BEN MICHEL: The next
- 13 person on the list of speakers to speak is Simeo Rich.
- 14 He's an Innu elder.
- 15 MR. SIMEO RICH
- 16 [INTERPRETER]: As far as I can remember, I want to talk
- 17 about the things that I know. As far as I can remember
- 18 in the early days, the Innu people, he said, as far as
- 19 I can remember, we, the Innu, have a control of our lives,
- 20 run and manage our own lives without the interruption of
- 21 the non-native people. She said the Innu has full control
- 22 of their hunting territories, of the killing of the wild
- 23 animals. She said as far as I know now that the wildlife

June 17, 1992

Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples

1	officer is interrupting the lives of the Innu, and she
2	said there has been closing a lot of things that the Innu
3	lives. She said, he said, as far as he can remember, he
4	said, there used to be non-native people running their
5	own projects, making money from our own resources, from
6	our land. She said now, she said now that everything is
7	crossroads, he said that the non-native people are
8	controlling our lives, controlling our resources,
9	controlling the projects that we're presently living on.
10	She said of itself now, the non-native people are
11	controlling the forestry. He said it's now the white man
12	are cutting woods for us, teaching us how to hunt, how
13	to live. He says now that since the non-native people
14	existence here, he said, they're teaching our kids their
15	way of life. Now that unable to teach the things that
16	we have learned and personally passed. She said I heard
17	a lot of elders talking, asking the Innu how they lived
18	in the past. She said it's the white man is teaching our
19	kids of how they live. He said now that our younger
20	generation don't know anything about the way we lived,
21	about our customs and traditions and all those. She said
22	that the younger generation assumes that the non-native
23	we have teaching is vitally good to their education. He

June 17, 1992

Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples

1	said that we're losing a culture. They're losing
2	everything that they have learned from us. He said as
3	far as since I worked, I heard a lot of kids being taught
4	by the non-native and there is no traditional skills that
5	we, the elders, should teach our younger generation.
6	I also see from ten years
7	being in Churchill Falls to be able to see how the hydro
8	works, be able to manage. We wouldn't be paid a high price
9	because these resources still comes from our land. And
10	we're never asked to say, he said, there is a lot of people
11	who feel that they shouldn't pay a high cost of electricity
12	because it's the resources that comes from the land. And
13	some people who are presently being cut off from the
14	electricity and they said if they had fought with hydro
15	Churchill Falls, it's on the Innu land, how to run it,
16	how to manage it without the non-native people interfering
17	with how the hydro is presently running. And losing a
18	lot of persons are being due to the damming of the hydro
19	Churchill Falls, losing a lot of persons of due to the
20	damming of the Churchill Falls hydro. Or even to say to
21	the government, can you compensate us for the things that
22	we have lost, for the building of the Churchill Falls hydro.
23	He said I also know a few things how it's run and how

June 17, 1992

Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples

1	is the non-native government is running and how the Inuit
2	people are controlling to their own lives.
3	She said now that the Innu
4	people is unable to hunt or hunt close by, he said that
5	the non-native people have a capacity to hunt and roam
6	because they have a lot of new technology they use in a
7	way their lives are being run. He said there are so many
8	things that we can manage to control our own programs,
9	how we can self-government ourself, you know, how the
L 0	non-native people programs are trained and being run.
L1	And she said except that, she said, the non-nativeshe
L2	said that the Innu people are not given a chance to govern
L3	themselves by such programs or training programs. We would
L 4	like to be given a chance to control our own lives, to
L5	do things that are necessity to our way of life.
L 6	She said as as matter of
L7	fact that the Innu kids are not taught by the elders how
L 8	to make a canoe, how to do traditional skills. He say
L 9	that the non-native people are teaching our kids how to
20	teach the traditional skills, the things that the elders
21	know.
22	He said today, he said that
2.3	there is another rumour going around in Happy Valley that

June 17, 1992

Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples

1	there is a program going to be run how to make snowshoes.
2	And he said non-native people are stepping us all over
3	our lives and we're unable to do such things, teach us
4	the younger generation with these things. And he said
5	we can really feel and see ourself that we're going to
6	be run by the non-native people. She said that half of
7	the elders are all gone and he said, you know, it could
8	have been the elders could have been teaching us
9	sufficiency skills that we could have learned from them.
10	
11	She said that we're looking
12	at a non-native government because we're becoming like
13	a non-native people because we act like a non-native
14	people. And we turn to non-native people. And he said,
15	I can feel that we are like a non-native people in the
16	way the lives are run.
17	Another thing is what I'm
18	going to discuss here, some of the things are what I see,
19	how I see things that are running in our lives. He said
20	there are so being things that we are being lied at. These
21	are the things that we have seen.
22	He said last summer there
23	was a project that was onthere was a blasting water and

June 17, 1992

Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples

1	he said that a dust ship that came over and blasted the
2	water at you because the ships were unable to come to the
3	harbour of Happy Valley. He said that there was one
4	resource, some water resource there, was dangerous to blast
5	or put an explosive in the water, he said, it would be
6	dangerous. And I think he assumes that some of these
7	people who are putting blast on this water, he said that
8	it could have been all lives. I guess there were 22
9	research under the water. He said so many years have I
10	lived or have I come across, he said, I have never seen,
11	he said, he has been taking about four years to complete
12	this project. He said, and I don't believe in it. He's
13	unable to find something in the water, he said, how come,
14	and he was blasting the water for the ships to come into
15	the water. He said how come he's blasting the water, you
16	know, enabling to find something. He said that the
17	government is always lying.
18	He said there are some
19	things that are not, there is no fish in spring. She said
20	there are people in Northwest Point who are finding
21	changes. He said that there has been a few changes in
22	that beach, he said, that is just recently that the people,
23	Innu, point out their feeling about these changes. And

June 17, 1992

Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples

1	people are assuming that when they were blasting the water
2	between the Northwest Point that leads to Happy Valley
3	and they blame it on these people who were doing the
4	blasting due to because the Innu people who were camping
5	noticed some discoloration of the sand near the beach of
6	place where they were camping. He said if we're going
7	to ask the government what is the reason for these change,
8	he said, well, they will not give us any money for us to
9	do this kind of research, the purpose of that changes in
L 0	Northwest Point. She said some people are starting to
L1	recognize this, especially in the elders, the changes,
L2	he said, that also are that they will be losing their young
L3	kids due to the change in the Northwest Point Beach. He
L 4	said that even though they were noticing some changes with
L5	the Northwest River beach water, he said, the water is
L 6	like a boiling thing, he said, they are unable to go nearby
L7	it because it has some kind of discoloration of the water
L8	sample there. It's one of the reasons why I don't believe
L 9	in the things I hear, nor do I see as far as I live when
20	things being said, he said.
21	There is a lot of things
22	being given to us suddenly, or never being consulted the
23	things that needs to be done, you know. And we also right

June 17, 1992

Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples

1	away manage to find the things that are needed to be known
2	or being consulted. So the elders need to be consulted.
3	We need to be consulted to allow these projects or do we
4	allow them to come? It is all right to use the elder in
5	the old days and is also as far as I can remember. But
6	he says, today he says that things are changing and we
7	don't listen to the elders as we used to. He said because
8	we're after the funding or we're after the money. And
9	I'm assuming that one of the reasons why we don't listen
10	to the elders or we also need to be strong to listen to
11	us, we also be very strong in wanting some things from
12	us. And when they are in something in return, we always
13	allow them to come in between and how are we going to run?
14	What are we going to do or how are we going to manage
15	the funding when we get the funding? He said it's the
16	elder that has a wise understanding of how we can run,
17	how we can manage, how we can run the lives that we should
18	run. He said as far as I know, when I was young, he said,
19	when we agree on something and then we later find out there
20	was a disagreement, he said, it's up to the elders that
21	we should consult with the Innu because they know from
22	experience of what it's like to be on and what it's like
23	in the past. As far as I know, the elders have an

June 17, 1992

Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples

т.	understanding, have a good understanding now to nume, now
2	to support their kids, surviving, making survival for their
3	kids. She said there are few elders that we can talk when
4	there is other programs being talked about. I think it's
5	one of the reasons that we don't get along or we don't
6	have excess control of the programs, such as the projects
7	being introduced.
8	He said there are some
9	things I don't like when there is no consultation with
L 0	the elders. He said as far, I would really like the elders
L1	to be used when there is negotiation with the government,
L2	the elders to be consulted, how the elders feel, how the
L3	elders will live. He said this is one of the things that
L 4	I would love to see happen, he said. It's the elders that
L5	would give a better teachings and education, educating
L 6	us, managing our lives. She said as far as he can remember
L7	they don't understand of the non-native government. He
L 8	said we treated the government as the elders. He said
L 9	when I hear the elders talking about their grandparents,
20	they always agree on something. And this is one of the
21	reasons that the elders are saying you don't listen to
22	us. And this is for things that people are having
2	difficulty with their lives at the moment. He said if

June 17, 1992

18

Thank you.

Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples

1	we come and negotiate with the government, he said it would
2	be up to the elders to come and sit and negotiate with
3	the government, how we're going to run, how we're going
4	to see, how many years are we going to self-government
5	ourselves and our lives, how are we going to be able to
6	consult on the way of life. He said it's the elders that
7	knows everything because he's wise, he said, and
8	understanding. This has been passed on from generation
9	to generation. He said as of now, he said that they don't
10	tell the younger generation any stories any more. There
11	has been a lot of changes.
12	He said I'm very happy to
13	come in and sit with you and share with you how I feel,
14	what I feel and I'm sure there is other people willing
15	to say other things. He said thank you.
16	CO-CHAIR RENE DUSSAULT:
17	I would like to thank you very much for your presentation.

19 MR. BEN MICHEL: The next

20 person who's on the list and I think there might be one

21 more after this person. I'm not sure. I'll have to check,

22 is Patrick Rich. Patrick Rich is the next individual who

23 will speak and he will be speaking on the adoption cases

June 17, 1992

Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples

1	and that sort of thing or children who are just taken away
2	from their families and that sort of thing.
3	MR. PATRICK RICH: Hello,
4	my name is Patrick Rich. I'm mainly going to talk about
5	my family. In the Innu culture, when couples give up their
6	children, they give it up to members of their family.
7	They didn't give it up to some stranger they didn't know.
8	So as the child was growing up, the children knew about
9	their parents. He knew who his father was, who his mother
10	is. In my case, it's a
11	different story. Back in 1970 we had a daughter named
12	Roxanne. She was taken away from the hospital. While she
13	was in the hospital, she was also baptized in the hospital,
14	which is unusual for the Catholic church to allow such
15	a thing. Again in 1972, we had
16	another daughter named Mary Jane, so she was also taken
17	away by Social Services. She was taken away by Social
18	Services. Again, she was baptized in the hospital.
19	In 1973, again Frances, we
20	had another girl named Frances. Social Services tried
21	to persuade my wife Germaine to take the child away again.
22	This time her family put up a fight. They wouldn't allow
23	this to happen again. That was the third child taken away

June 17, 1992

Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples

1	from Germaine.
2	Since 1974 we approached
3	the Social Services, the social worker who came by to our
4	town in Sheshatshiu, so we asked about Mary Jane, Roxanne,
5	since she was the oldest so we pursued her first. As we
6	were doing this, we had to go throughfirst we was told
7	our house where we were living was too crowded.
8	Again, we saw Social
9	Services again in 1974 in the winter. So we asked the
10	Social Services about Roxanne. We asked were we going
11	to get Mary Jane back. And they said the house that you're
12	living in is too poor. It's not properly heated.
13	So again in spring, we were
14	living at my father's at the time. So we asked the Social
15	Services, they came to our house and said about Roxanne.
16	We told the social worker we wanted Roxanne back. Social
17	Services told us we can't have her. So my father said,
18	he said, "Why can't they get Roxanne back? They're living
19	with me. I have a good house. If they can't fend for
20	themselves, I will feed them." Social Services said okay,
21	your house is acceptable, so we had to go to Family Court.
22	While we were in the Family Court, we asked Father Gibson
23	to represent us. I said we didn't do nothing, why are

June 17, 1992

Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples

Τ.	we in the court? Social worker said this is a case about
2	Roxanne and tell the magistrate that the foster parents
3	of Roxanne want to adopt Roxanne. And immediately the
4	magistrate said the foster parents doesn't care for the
5	children. They only care for the money. He said I order
6	this child back to his parents. That
7	summer in '75 we asked about Mary Jane. And social workers
8	told us Mary Jane been adopted and told us Germaine signed
9	the papers. And Germaine spoke to me in Innu and said,
10	"I never sign any papers for adoption." So he came back
11	two weeks later and show us the papers. First he showed
12	us Roxanne paper with Germaine's signature on it. Then
13	he came back with Mary Jane's papers which had Germaine's
14	name on it, but it wasn't her signature. Germaine spoke
15	to her in English and said, "That's not my signature."
16	Since 1975 we haven't heard
17	anything from Social Services. That same day we saw papers
18	and Germaine's name was forged. Germaine was pretty quiet
19	and was pretty hurt about it. Since this winter, late
20	February, we approached the Innu Nation. Germaine asked
21	me to approach the Innu Nation and ask the Innu Nation
22	to help us, ask the Innu Nation to help us find Mary Jane.
23	So we approached the Innu Nation. Since we approached

June 17, 1992

Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples

Т	the Innu Nation, they immediately did call the Social
2	Services director of child welfare in St. John's. They
3	wouldn't help us. All we wanted to do was make contact
4	with Mary Jane.
5	Since we hadn't get
6	anywhere, so I decided to call the media. I asked Peter
7	Penashue, do you know any lawyers or reporters? He gave
8	me two names so he contacted them, so the lawyer and the
9	reporter contacted us. The reporter did an article in
10	the papers. Somebody in Newfoundland come, read it,
11	contacted us and give us the name. The name she gave us
12	was the wrong name, so we decided, I thought we were getting
13	back to another dead end. So I called Bell Telephone in
14	Ontario and asked for the book from Ontario, name of
15	Georgetown. Instead they gave us the Toronto phone
16	directory. While I'm doing that, I would be calling
17	Toronto, we called Georgetown through my friends to try
18	and find out [Muskets?]. The only Muskets that existed
19	were from England. So I asked my friend try to call Bell
20	Telephone in Ontario and try to find the name Musket in
21	Georgetown back in 1972. Since we've been doing that,

StenoTran

22 we haven't gotten anywhere. The only names where that

23 existed was under the name of Muskett. So we try all the

June 17, 1992

Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples

1	Muskets in Toronto and so we never got anywhere.
2	So I called up the
3	Georgetown phone directory and asked for the Musket family
4	and they gave me a name. When I called Georgetown, a girl
5	answered the phone. I told her who I was, where I was
6	calling from, and then I finally ask her did they have
7	any adopted children in their family would be 19 years
8	old, who would be a native child. He said not in our
9	family, but he told me his uncle had such a child. What
10	we are asking for from Social Services, we don't want to
11	speak to social workers. I want to hear from the Director
12	of Child Welfare. I want to hear from the provincial
13	government to explain why they allow this thing to happen
14	for so long. I want to get some answers. I want to see
15	the people involved to bring charge with criminal offence.
16	And that's it.
17	CO-CHAIR RENE DUSSAULT:
18	Well, I would like to tell you that we are certainly
19	concerned by what you told us. My suggestion would be
20	that Roger Farley, who is sitting at this table and is
21	acting as a case officer for us when there are individual
22	cases that he will speak to you after the meeting and get
23	your phone numbers and we will like to have as much

June 17, 1992

21

22

23

Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples

1	information as possible and we'll see what we could do
2	to try to be useful to you. Of course, there might be
3	a situation where, through a good channel we could find
4	some ways of helping you. We don't know, of course, at
5	this point, but we are certainly available to try to be
6	of some help. So Roger will be in touch with you or you
7	be in touch with him straight after this meeting. Thank
8	you very much.
9	COMMISSIONER VIOLA
10	ROBINSON: I want to thank you for coming forward and for
11	your courage to come and speak to us about such a touching
12	emotional experience of your life. It must be very
13	difficult, and as our co-chair has said, we want to follow
14	up on your issue and give the information over here. Thank
15	you.
16	MR. BEN MICHEL: I guess
17	this is the end of the day and that the closing remarks
18	will be made by Mr. Dussault and the closing prayers by
19	Mary Ann Michel after he makes the closing remarks.
20	CO-CHAIR RENE DUSSAULT:

StenoTran

Yes, I will be very brief as we will resume the hearings

tomorrow morning in this gymnasium. I would just like

to thank everybody who has presented views and concerns

June 17, 1992

Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples

1	to the Commission today. Also, everybody who have
2	assisted the presenters, that have been sitting and hearing
3	what was said, I would like to thank all of our staff who
4	have been making this possible, our facilitator, our
5	Commissioner of the day, of course, the translator, the
6	two translators who, I think, everybody would agree they
7	did a tremendous job, very good job. Also, we are grateful
8	to the various media who have attended this day of hearings
9	and we hope that we will be able tomorrow to have as fruitful
10	a day as today. These hearings where obviously we hear
11	a large spectrum of concerns and areas of concerns are
12	of a great importance for the Commission. So I hope that
13	everybody will have a good evening and we will be back
14	tomorrow at nine o'clock.
15	(Closing Prayer)
16	Whereupon the Commission adjourned
17	